sharp and bitter then as since, and that peace between the sects in Lgypt was only maintained by the scrong hand of the law.

Of the high priest Jehochanan mentioned in this petition I have already spoken in respect of his relations with Bagohl, the Governor. Their quarrel, as related by Josephus, was at a date subsequent to dedonlah's letter. Of this high priest and his family we have the following In Nehemiah III I, "Then Eliashib the High Priest rose up with his brethren the priests, and they bullded the sheep gate; they sanctified it, and set up the door of it; even unto the tower of Meah they sanctified it. unto the tower of Hananeel," (This was John's grandfather.)

XII. 22, "The Levites in the days of Ellashib, Joiala, and Johanan, and Jaildua, were recorded chief of the fathers; also the priests of the reign of Darlus the Persian.

XII, 23. The sons of Levi, the chief of the fathers were written in the Book of Chronicles even until the days of Johanar he son of Eliashib."

XIII, 28, "And one of the sons of Joiada, the son of Eliashib the High riest was son-In-law to Sanballat the Horonite; and therefore I chased him from me."

Here we learn from Jedoniah that John had another brother 10t previously known to us. Why did the High Priest, John, and others in Jerusalem, pay no attention to the application of the Jews at Jeb? 1 would suggest two reasons: Jews of Jerusalem wished their temple to be the centre of Judalsm, that it should be the holy of holies, the capital of the world, in the spirit of Isaiah II. 2. A rival temple would both lower the prestige of Jerusalem, and diminish their revenue, both directly, and by reducing the pilgrimages made to the holy city. Ana the mere fact that John, the High Priest at Jersualem, slew his own Frother in the temple would tend to shew that he was not a person of high principle, that would set the interests of religion above his own.

But there was probably also a rltualistic reason. Under the rescript of Darlus, and the service described in Ezra, Chapters Six and Seven. there was a considerable departure from the ancient liturgy in the temple service at Jerusalem. Dancing formed a popular and expressive part of the rellgious rites of the Jews.—as probably amongst most races at one time or another-from Mozes up to David. It seems to have fallen into disuse by the fire of Hezeklah and Josiah, In the seventh century B.C. The dance is mentioned three times in this letter, and seems to have been an Important part of their service in the temple at Jeb. It would therefore perhaps not be unreasonable to infer that the Jeb ritual, which was clearly of the old type, had been established there even before the days of Josiah. certainly would not be pleasant to the high priest at Jerusalem to find that at Jeb the more modern service was not followed. It will be noticed that in the minute authorising the rebuilding of the temple Jeb, the Dance is not mentloned, though meat offerings and incense are specified. This was in conformity with the ritual of Ezra then in use at Jerusalem.

There is much significance—beyond the light thrown on the character of a Persian Governor—in the promise of the Jews of Jeb to present offerings on the altar of Yahu in the name of Bagohl. This promise shows shows a marked contrast between Rome and Persia in religious policy. With the early Roman Emperors Christians would have been allowed to have their own religious service ,provided that they recognized the divinity of the Emperor, which was an absolute obligation on