rpose. I urged e, and Dr. Gray nexion between sence, of the Docthe Church of ray himself! I stablishment, or e it is, as it prohe " foundation mself being the leep interest in ought a private hereas I sought ntion was to inis superseding r kindness, and ter have not as-

e "suppressed"
know evangelithe evil spirit,
Gospel rules;
real knowledge
y may have emre falsified the

made upon my
e great professing ever since
t the influence
and I am fully
nanating from

which is rather nees. It is as nothing to ask t. The lust of is the cleaving

or many years system" here?

One man has put his veto upon the expressed wishes of a large part of the community, and said to them, in so many words, that all the souls are his, that he has the "cura anamantium," and that he alone is adequate to such a responsibility. If this is not the lust of rule from the self-love of the mere natural man, I am greatly mistaken; and that this is the cleaving curse of the Church is very evident indeed. As to an Establishment in this Province, its existence was virtually denied by the House of Assembly the other day; \* and the name of an Establishment, where it does not really exist, only excites jealousy and suspicion in a religious point of view. Had it not have been for what is called an Establishment, there would have been no Parish disputes in this City; there would have been separate flocks, and their Pastors chosen by themselves, and all the freedom of action which is essential to the best interests of such a connexion. That the present "system" requires a little correction is evident; and if it is supported on Religious principle, it is but right to question the principle spon which that Religion is founded. Open the Bible, and ee whether you can defend this system on Bible principles, whether it is in accordance with the will of Him, whose souls they are, and who gives the cure of souls to those only who are willing to exercise it. Can any one have the cure of ouls who takes no care of many of them, who never sees them except by accident, and who is incapable, therefore, of knowing their state and condition? Is such a man to dictate who shall be a Clergyman, and who shall not be a Clergyman in the Church of England? Does he talk of making sacrifices for the Church, and of giving up the things dearest to him on earth rather than be untrue to the Church? What has he to surrender? What is his claim to the things which he is so willing to sacrifice? There is, indeed, no necessity for leaving the Church, as many have done, for these and other reasons, so long as the Bible is acknowledged as the rule of faith, and as the doctrine of the Church: nor would one be justified in so doing, if a great part of the Church was as corrupt as the "Church Witness" itself represents it to be! These remarks might have been avoided, if they had not been called forth, by Dr. Gray. He has placed himself as

<sup>\*</sup> I allude to the election of Chaplain, when the claim or distinction in favour of any