that e of buld imand nost, latid to e, or activerv omadstabtous ; to from hina hich hem. e, or 37 . ) the "and " too acles r, all ssage The raise. ablic, ot inproar the

•1

3

north-east part of America. He seems, however, to have been aware of there being some little difficulty in getting hold of that part of America, for he informs us a little further on, at page 169, that, "Hitherto, most of our adventurers have worked their way through Hudson's Strait, which is generally choked up with ice, then standing to the northward, they have had to contend with ice drifting to the southward, with contrary winds and currents. These inconveniences," he adds, " would be obviated by standing first to the latitudes of 71° or 72°, and from thence southerly and westerly, till they saw the north-east coast of America, which would ro far to complete the discovery, or, till they reached Hudson's Bay, which would decide the question in the negative." Here, in the most direct terms, this reviewer records his disapprobation (and with good reason) of a route through Hudson's Strait and Bay, in quest of the north-east part of America; nay his belief, at the time he wrote, that no passage could be found out of Hudson's Bay, (and consequently even through the Welcome or Repulse Bay) into the Polar sea. Inasmuch as he says, that if the more northerly route he recommends, should (by a southerly and westerly deviation afterwards) lead any future adventurer into Hudson's Bay, That " would decide the question in the negative." And yet in the same article, at page 162, this reviewer would seem to doubt the veracity of Middleton; "who," he informs us, "looked into (he says, sailed round,) what he (Middleton) was pleased to call Repulse Bay." It is indeed very amusing to compare some of the notions of this anonymous writer, in different numbers of this " popular critical journal," on points connected with the question of a north-west passage. For instance, in this Number 31, at page 170; he says-" It is a com-