various charges, and spent some time in prison. I remember that he was one of the evidences against your son. Should your suspicions prove correct as to conspiracy, I most sincerely trust that you will be able to delve to the bottom of it and expose the true delinquent. I hear that young Lawless has for some time past reformed and is now generally considered steady, he has but lately been appointed to the Post Office Department. Should I be able to gather any further information, will write you again.

(Signed)

GEO. M. INNES.

G. MURRAY JARVIS, Esq.

Letter from James Walker to G. Murray Jarvis.

London, Ontario, 15th May, 1879.

Enclosed I send as desired my declaration respecting the loss of a money letter which I sent through the Post Office in January last. I don't know whether it can be of any material service to your son, but it will at least go to show that irregularities occurred at a time when by no possibility he could have been an accessory to them. I may add that I attended the court during your son's trial and paid particular attention to the evidence given in the case, and was greatly astonished that any Grand Jury should on such testimony find a Bill against your son, as there was not a particle of evidence to show that the loss of the letters was attributable to him. There were some half-a-dozen or more clerks in the same Department, who had access to the registered letters, and if the evidence adduced by the Crown Officer should be allowed to weigh in the slightest degree against your son, it touched all the others in the same manner, therefore it does seem to me that your son has been harshly and unjustly treated, and made the scapegoat to cover the sins of some one else, and I trust the Government will see that no further injury is done him by depriving him of his position in the Civil Service as threatened.

(Signed)

JAMES A. WALKER.

G. MURRAY JARVIS, ESQ.

ONTARIO,
COUNTY OF MIDDLESEX,
TO WIT:

I, James Archibald Walker, of the City of
London, in the County of Middlesex, Gentleman, do solemnly declare,
that on the eighth day of January last past, I enclosed a sum of money
in a letter which I addressed to my wife, residing in the City of London,
and addressed the letter to the London Post Office. That I posted the
letter. That my wife never received the said money. That I called
upon the Post Office Inspector, Mr. Griffin, to make enquiries about the
missing money. That when I went into Mr. Griffin's office another
gentleman was conversing with him, apparently upon similar business,
and when I made my complaint of the letter being lost, Mr. Griffin remarked: "What! another; this is really too bad; this is becoming
almost an every-day occurrence;" or words to that effect. And I make