

Orange
Otto
Ouellet
Peddle
Pelletier
Penner
Pepin
Perrault
Pilon
Prud'homme
Reid
Ricard
Richard
Richardson
Ritchie
Rochon
Rodrigue
Rondeau
Roy (Timmins)
Roy (Laval)
Ryan
Rynard
Schumacher
Scott
Sharp
Simpson
Smerchanski

Smith (Northumberland-
Miramichi)
Smith (Saint-Jean)
Southam
Stafford
Stanbury
Stanfield
Stewart (Cochrane)
Stewart (Marquette)
Stewart (Okanagan-
Kootenay)
St. Pierre
Sullivan
Tétrault
Thomas (Maisonneuve)
Tolmie
Trudeau
Turner (Ottawa-
Carleton)
Wahn
Walker
Watson
Whelan
Whicher
Whiting
Woolliams
Yanakis—178.

● (3:50 p.m.)

Mr. Speaker: I declare the amendment lost.

Mr. Macdonald (Rosedale): Mr. Speaker, I rise on a point of order. I think there is agreement in the House that the order for second reading may be amended in such a way that the bill would be referred after second reading to a committee of the whole instead of to a standing committee.

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

Mr. Baldwin: Mr. Speaker, on that point I think there would be agreement. Are we to understand that the government is still of the same opinion it entertained last night with regard to the amendment, to be moved at the appropriate time in committee, dealing with the duration of the operation of the bill?

Mr. Macdonald (Rosedale): Yes, Mr. Speaker. My colleague, the Minister without Portfolio (Mr. Stanbury) will bring in an amendment under clause 2 which will have the effect of providing that the maximum duration for the application of the bill shall be up to March 31, 1970.

Mr. Knowles (Winnipeg North Centre): Mr. Speaker, we agreed to this last night and of course stand by it. We shall have other amendments to move when we get to committee of the whole.

Mr. Speaker: Is it agreed that the second reading motion be amended by unanimous consent, as suggested by the President of the Privy Council?

Company of Young Canadians Act

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

● (4:00 p.m.)

Mr. Speaker: The question is now on the main motion. Is it the pleasure of the House to adopt the said motion?

Some hon. Members: On division.

Motion agreed to, bill read the second time and the House went into committee thereon, Mr. Faulkner in the chair.

On clause 1—

Mr. Dinsdale: Mr. Chairman, I deliberately refrained from speaking during the second reading stage so that the matter now before us might be expedited. This leaves me now to make my first observation, that one of the dominant characteristics of the entire record of the Company of Young Canadians is that things have been done in haste. As a result, there has been repentance at leisure.

The Company of Young Canadians has had a checkered career. I feel this is largely because an excellent idea, that of involving young Canadians in direct participation in voluntary activity, was perverted by a lack of proper study in anticipation in setting up the company. I believe this is so because the whole idea was conceived during the heat of an election campaign. As some hon. members have said, it was more an election gimmick than the implementation of a sound, social program and philosophy in dealing with young Canadians.

This sort of approach to social policy by the government has for a number of years now reflected the philosophy that can only be described in terms of the phrase "60 days of glorious decision". This slap-happy attitude toward public policy has laid the foundation for many of the problems besetting Canada today. In passing I would refer to the problem of inflation and the major difficulty caused by the growing feeling of division and alienation in this nation.

The idea was borrowed from the United States of America. It was an attempt to capture some of the mystique of the Kennedy family which seemingly had a strong appeal to youth. In this respect we were actually importing United States culture. Here is further evidence of the schizophrenic tendencies of the government on the one hand to enthusiastically support an idea that was spawned in the United States, and on the other, with respect to cablevision, it takes