with the Premier of Ontario, the Hon. Mr. Ferguson. He spoke of the blue ruin which was facing Canada, and yet five minutes later the premier stood up and said that Ontario was prosperous. We know that that province is well administered, and it is hard to understand the leader of the opposition on the one hand saying that the country is faced with blue ruin while the premier of the province, on the other hand, states that that province is absolutely prosperous. Ontario is a part of Canada, and should be considered as such; and in this matter we are more inclined to listen to Premier Ferguson than to the leader of the opposition. When a new hotel was planned to be built in Toronto, a member from that city said that it was not necessary because foreigners were going to use it exclusively to sell their wares to Canadian people and that in turn would force our people to leave the country. However, when we visit Toronto to-day we patronize that new hotel and find it well filled and really we of northern Ontario cannot be called foreigners even in Toronto.

The hon. leader of the opposition referred to the steel industry, and stated that if an increase in duty were allowed on these products certain plants would increase their capacity. The steel plant at Sault Ste. Marie is erecting new buildings, but the hon. leader of the opposition is fully aware that that has been under consideration for some time. Those buildings are not being erected because of an increase in the steel tariff, but because this company is fully aware of the modern situation and it has spent hundreds of thousands of dollars in increasing its plant capacity. New industries are being born, not only every year but every week. The reports issued by the presidents of the Canadian Pacific Railway and the Canadian National Railways show that not only dozens but hundreds of new industries have been born during the last twenty-five years.

It is impossible to have a tariff which will please everyone. The hon, member for Last Mountain (Mr. Fansher) offered a subamendment asking that the British preferential be increased on textiles, while, on the other hand, the Conservative opposition are asking for more protection on this commodity. Some people claim that the woollen industry is not prosperous. The following is a despatch from Amprior which appeared in the Ottawa Journal of October 2, 1929:

Kenwood mills, one of Arnprior's principal 'ndustries, is being considerably enlarged to take care of the increasing business which the company is doing and there is keen satisfaction [Mr. Bradette.]

amongst the townspeople at this indication of the growing importance of Arnprior as an industrial centre.

An additional structure 150 feet in length and 60 feet wide is now being built to the main plant, brick and steel being utilized in the creation of a modern factory building. The cost of the work will be between \$40,000 and \$50,000 and it is being done by men in the employ of the woollen company.

Several residential buildings are also being constructed by the same company, work on five dwellings now being in hand. They will be for the accommodation of the plant superintendent and other officials.

Plans for the factory addition and the houses were drawn by Cecil Burgess, architect, Ottawa.

That would seem to show that some branches of the woollen industry are in a prosperous condition. I visited Arnprior last summer and I was surprised at being told that not a single pound of Canadian wool is used in that plant. The superintendent told me that every pound of wool used in that plant comes from Australia or New Zealand. That would go to show how hard it is to apply duties in order to satisfy everyone. That plant is absolutely prosperous and is increasing its manufacturing capacity.

Mr. EVANS: Will the hon. gentleman permit a question?

Mr. BRADETTE: Certainly.

Mr. EVANS: Why do not our manufacturers buy Canadian wool?

Mr. BRADETTE: Not being an expert in that line, it is impossible for me to give an authorative answer to the hon. gentleman. I understand, however, that that company do not utilize one pound of Canadian wool because they cannot get in Canada the quality required for the manufacture of their goods. If the hon. gentleman asking for more protection for the woollen industry desire to see an industry which is so prosperous that it is forced to increase its capacity, they should go to Arnprior and see the Kenwood mills.

This tariff must be considered in the same way as we would consider a forest. It may be possible to find one tree in the forest which is defective, but the forest as a whole is good. The same with the budget; one item may not give satisfaction but it should be considered as a whole.

I do not think anyone can quarrel with the Minister of Finance in the concluding paragraph of his budget speech. The opposition accuse the government of insincerity, but I believe that the worst enemies of members of parliament are the members themselves. They hurl accusations at one another and in