

this argument was found not acceptable earlier today; therefore the precedent having been set I feel bound to follow it.

I would suggest that the second argument is more serious. Citation 202 (3) of Beauchesne's fourth edition says: "Since the purpose of a sub-amendment is to alter the amendment, it should not enlarge upon the scope of the amendment but it should deal with matters that are not covered by the amendment; if it is intended to bring up matters foreign to the amendment, the Member should wait until the amendment is disposed of and move a new amendment."

It seems to me on reading the sub-amendment as proposed that if it means anything it is advancing something new and suggesting a new proposal.

The honourable Member for Winnipeg North Centre (Mr. Knowles) referred to citation 202(3) of Beauchesne's fourth edition, which is to the effect that the sub-amendment should deal with matters that are not covered in the amendment. That is so and I accept that proposition. But we must still respect the principle of relevancy as outlined in citation 203(1) of Beauchesne, and even if the sub-amendment is advancing something new it has to be relevant to the amendment which the sub-amendment seeks to alter.

For these reasons I think that the proposal advanced by the honourable Member for Burnaby-Coquitlam cannot be accepted at this time in the form of a sub-amendment.

---

And debate continuing;

Pursuant to provisional Standing Order 6(2), Mr. McIlraith moved,—That the House continue to sit until 11.00 o'clock p.m. this day.

And more than ten members having risen to object, the question was not put on the said motion.

*(Proceedings on Adjournment Motion)*

At ten o'clock p.m., the question "That this House do now adjourn" was deemed to have been proposed pursuant to provisional Standing Order 39-A;

After debate, the said question was deemed to have been adopted.

---

*Returns and Reports Deposited with the Clerk of the House*

The following papers having been deposited with the Clerk of the House were laid upon the Table pursuant to Standing Order 40, namely;

By Miss LaMarsh, a Member of the Queen's Privy Council, by command of His Excellency the Governor General,—Report of the National Gallery of Canada, including its Accounts and Financial Transactions certified by the Auditor General, for the year ended March 31, 1965, pursuant to section 10 of the National Gallery Act, chapter 186, R.S.C., 1952. (English and French).

By Miss LaMarsh,—Report of the Canada Council, including the Auditor General's Report on the Financial Statements of the Council, for the year ended March 31, 1966, pursuant to section 23 of the Canada Council Act, chapter 3, Statutes of Canada, 1957. (English and French).

---

At 10.08 o'clock p.m. the House adjourned until tomorrow at 2.30 o'clock p.m.