"Evangelical Centh-Apostalic Order."

VDL. X.

Harran, nova ecoura, saturday, may o, 1887.

210° ID"

Calendar.

CALENDAR WITH LESSONS.					
Day Dale		MORNING.		BYENING.	
8. May 10 21. 12: T. 122 W 18 T 14 F. 15 S. 16		leeut. 2 Kings	0 Matt. 0	8 Dent. D 2 Kings 10 11 13 12 14 2 Kings	7 Rom. 9 5 10 71 11 9, 12 11 13 13 14 16 - 15

Poetry.

WORDS OVER A GRAVE.

These exquisite lines are the last ever written by the gifted authoress of Lays of a Lifetime. They are a fitting conclusion to a volume full of beauty, and rich with tendor and true feeling.—Eds. N. Y. Ch. Journal.

Did she suffer long? O yes I and 'tis best To wipe our toars, when such weary ones rest, Fond hearts watched o'er her, for many s day, Lest life's torn petals should fail to their clay: But they fell to their clay.

Did she sorrow to live, when her husband was near?
There lay much her cyclid an unshed cear;
But it trickled not till her boy drew nigh,
and asked his pale mother never to die!

Never to die!

Did mind flit from her, with Death afar, And left it the gate of the grave, sjar 2 While tenanties life, guilined as before, Was the shadow of mind through that open door? Through that open door.

No; praise to Jehovah! for mercy thus shown, The light and its shadow at once were withdrawn, yet she trimmed her faith, ore she wentaway, tiod grant there was oil in the lamp that day, In the lamb that day.

The funeral train, like a guif stream, wound Through the ocean of life that was heaving around. In silence, it moved, as the wrock it bore. Where the gravestones public the churchrard shore.

The churchyard shore.

We linguished long by that cold grave-side. While back to the world swept the funeral time. Far from the Death-beach it ched away. Nor missed from its bosom a drop of spray.

A drop of spray.

And must dust absorb it? Ah no !—if she shone Among Christ's jewels, a precious stone,—When Judgment shall open the grave's rough shell, She may lie a poarl,—but we cannot tell,
We cannot tell.

Religious Stilicellang.

SCOTCH EPISCOPAL CLERGY.

The following coucies statement of the case of the Scotch Episcopal Clergy has been sent to us with a request for inscition :

The soven Bishops who now exercise Episcopal functions in Scotland derive their order by lineal succession from the four Bishops who were consegated at Westminster for the Church of Scotland n the 15th December, 1661. Of the clergy offiisting under them, rather more than one half have been ordained by Scotch Bishops, and the rest are presbyters of the United Church of England and Ireland. The laws of the Episcopal Church are contained in the " Code of Canons of the Episcopal Church in Scotland, as revised, amended, and onacted by an ecclesiastical Synod, holden for that purpose at Edinburgh on the 29th day of August, and continued by adjournment till the 6th September, inclusive, in the year of our Lord 1838." By these canena it is shown that the creeds, articles, and formularies which the Scotch Episcopal Church have adopted are the same as those of the United-Church of England and Ireland, with the exception that a Communion Office, commonly called the Scotch Communion Office, and allowed by canon to be "of primary authority," is used at present by about one-third of the congregations, while the other two-thirds uso the Office in the English Prayer book.

Episcopacy having been re-established in Scotland in the year 1661, retained its legal ascendancy till the Revolution, when Presbyterianism, or "the government of the Church by Presbyteries, Synods, and General Assemblies," was adopted by the Scotch Legislature; and all the acts of that Legislature. lature subsequent to the Restoration, having for their object the establishment of confirmation of Prelacy, were repealed. The Scotch Bishops and closely were thus deprived of all which they had fo-

coived from the State, but retained all which they had received from the Church-viz., the right to administer God's Word and excraments, and to maintain order and discipling mmong those who acknowledged their spiritual authority. These oflices they continued to exercise, without any serious in-terference from the State, till the year 1746, when, in consequence of the adherence of the Episcopal Church to the cause of the Stuarts, severe penal statutes were enacted, affecting both the clergy and faity of that communion, probibiting their assembling for public worship to the number of five or more, and imposing heavy populties of fine and imprisonment on all who frequented such meetings .-Under these penal statutes the Poiscopilians of Scotland continued to suffer till the year 1792, when, their dutiful ellegiance to the reigning Sovereign having been satisfactorily proved, the penalties which had been imposed on political grounds were withdrawn. The Act of Relief, however which was passed in that year, while it gave complete teleration to the clergy and lait y of the Scotch Episcopal Church, contained the following prominister of any order shall be capable of taking any benefice, curacy, or spiritual function, within that part of Great Britain called England, the dominion of Wales, or the town of Berwick-upon-Tweed, cr of officiating in any chatch of chapel in either of the same where the liturgy of the Church of Eng-land, as now by law established, is used, unless he shall have been lawfully ordained by some Bishop of the Church of England or of Ireland.

This provision was little noticed at the time by those who were too thankful for r lief from liability to fine and imprisonment, to comp ain of exclusion from offices and emoluments the possession of which they had never contemplated. But a succeeding generation folt that a therapire relief ought net to have been marred by the imposition of a new and arbitrary disqualification; and in 1840, chiefly through the influence of the late Archbishop of Canterbury, and with the general concurrence of the English Bishops, they obtained (by the Act 3rd and 4th Victoria, c. 33) permission to officiate in England "for any one day or any two days," under a written license from the Bishop of the diocese, renewable at pleasure-which is so far similar to the footing upon which English clergy stand, that they cannot by ecclesiastical law officiate for a continuance out of their own dioceso without Episcopal permission.

By this act it is distinctly seen that the Scotch Bishops are recognised in English law as Bishops, and the priests recognised as priests; and, more-over, that both Bishops and priests are legally ad-missible "to perform divine service, and to preach, and administer the sacraments" in the churches of England and Ireland.

Thus one portion of the disabling provision in the act of 1792 was repealed; but the other portion, viz., that which enacts that no person in Scotch Episcopal Orders shall be capable of admission to any cure or benefice in England, still remains in

When it is considered that one who has been a Romish priest may, by conforming to the Established Church of England, become qualified to accept any benefice in it; and that a minister, or indeed any member of any Dissorting community, may by ordination become qualified for admission to any benefice to which he may be presented, it seems hard that elergymen holding orders which have been by law declared valid for all spiritual purposes, and who, consequently, cannot be re-ordained, and who prove their orthodoxy and Protestantism by every test applied to the English clergy-namely, by signing the Thirty-Nine Articles, and by taking the Oath of Supremacy at their ordination—should be absolutely excluded from all possibility of holding cure or benefice in a Church of which they, in common with overy member of the Scottish Episco. pal Church, so soon as they cross the Border, considor themselves members.

This is the disability which the Scotch Episcopal clergy now seek to remove. They ask no alteration of their position as ministers of a tolerated Dissenting body within the kingdom of Scotland. But they desire that, the validity of Scotch orders hav-

ing been fully admitted by the act of 1810, the Bishops of the United Churca of England and Iroland shall be permitted to license or institute, as the case may be, any elergyman of the Scotch Epis-copal Church, of whose learning and piety they may be satisfied, by strict and personal examination. The Scottish elergy are advised by the best legal

authority that, in seeking the removal of this disability, they are in fact asking for nothing were deprived in 1792. Had the Scotch Acts by which Presented in 1792. byterianism was established, or the Act of Union, or any act, either of the Scotch. English or Imporial Parliament previous to 1792 imposed upon than the restriction of which they complain, the disabling provision in the act of 1792 would have been unaccessary. That restriction would appear to be inconsistent with the spirit of teleration in which the rest of the set is forward that for the test of the set is the spirit of teleration in which the rest of the act is framed; and they feel that the yowe it to themselves, and to the large body of the Scottish laity who belong to their communion, and whose sons, if ordained in Scotland, are now excluded from the prospect of preferment in the sister Churches of England and Ireland, to seek for the repeal of a provision which has no longer, us they believe, any ground whatever to rest upon, either in an ecclesiastical or civil point of view.
Edinburgh, March, 1857.—London Guardian.

THE BIBLE AND THE ANGLO-SAXON RACE .-Holy Scriptures, as translated in the reign of king James the First, are the noblest heritage of the Angle Saxon race. Contemporary with the rise of colonial emigration from the great hive of parent life and enterprise, the English Bible, of that epoch, would seem designed, by Providence, to be the parting blessing of the Mother of Nations, to her advening diessing of the Mother of Nations, to her naventurous progeny. Itself the product of long years of fidelity to the great Character man's salvation, it presented to the emigrant, not alone the love and care of the Church of that particular age; but it came to him, hallowed with the memory of a long line of witnesses, to whom he owed it under God. It was the work, in some degree, of all, who, in the successive stages of England's growth and development, had contributed to that great principle of the Anglican Reformation; that the Bible, with all its precious promises, is, by covenant with God, the rightful treasure of every Christian man, and of every Christian child. It was the Bible of Adhelm and Bedo and Ælfric and of Alfred; of Stephen Langton and Rolle of Hampolo; of Wielif and Tindal and Covarials and Crampos and Parkers with a dal and Coverdale and Cranmer and Parkere and of all the noble army of Marian Martyrs. Finally, it was the Bible which had been winnowed from whatever was unsubstantial in the fruits of all their labors, and which combined the merits of all; it was the finest of the wheat. When it appeared, Shakespeare and Spenser had written in poetry, and Hook-er in prose, and Milton was just born. The English language was in its prime and purity; its wells were undefiled. As yet, there were no developed schisms in the great family; recusants were few, and non-conformists were not yet dissenters. The great work was, itself, an Ironicum, and for a time, it seemed as if the spreading plague of religious dissension might be stayed. If not, it remained to be seen, as it yet does, whether this golden casket might not contain the elixir of renovation, and prove, in the end, the "healer of the breach," of the common family to which the English language is the mothertongue. It went abroad, in every adventurer's chest. the talisman of the ancestral faith, and the keepsake of home affectionate. It went to Jamestown, and it went to Plymouth Rock. It was read by the camp-fire of Smith, on the Virginia river, and by the Winter fire-side of the Pathers of New England. There was at least one thing held in common by both these colonies; and whatever may have been the discontent of the Puritan, he could not open his Bible without a kindly thought towards the Church of England, as a Mother, whose breasts were flow-ing with the milk of God's Word, even though her hands were employed in chastisement and discipline.
"For myself," said Robinson, the leader of the
Puritan emigration to Holland, "I believe with my heart, and profess with my tongue, that I have one and the same faith, hope, spirit, baptism, und Lord, which I had in the Church of England, and