

DIARY—CONTENTS—EDITORIAL NOTES.

DIARY FOR FEBRUARY.

- 2. Sun....4th Sunday after Epiphany.
- 3. Mon....Hilary Term begins. Convocation meets.
- 4. Tues...Convocation meets.
- 6. Thur...Hagarty, C. J., sworn in as C. J. of C. P., 1856.
- 8. Sat...Convocation meets.
- 9. Sun...Septuagesima.
- 10. Mon...Queen Victoria married, 1840.
- 11. Tues...Hon. R. E. Caron, Lieut.-Governor of Quebec, 1873.
- 14. Fri....Convocation meets.
- 15. Sat...Hilary Term ends.
- 16. Sun...Sexagesima.
- 17. Mon...Last day to move against Municipal Elections.
- 18. Tues...Canada settled by the French, 1634.
- 20. Thur...Rehearing Term in Chancery begins.
- 23. Sun...Quinquagesima.
- 26. Wed...Ash Wednesday.
- 27. Thur...Sir John Colborne, Administrator, 1838.

CONTENTS.

EDITORIALS :	PAGE
Cases in Chancery Chambers	39
Law as to user of party wall	39
Dissenting opinions in appellate courts	39
Obituary—Secker Brough, Esq.	40
The Supreme Court Bench	40
Dower as affected by the Statute of Limitations	41
SELECTIONS :	
Mechanics' Tools	14
NOTES OF CASES.	
Court of Appeal	44
Chancery	49
CANADA REPORTS.	
ONTARIO—CHANCERY CHAMBERS.	
Bickford v. Pardee	49
McTaggart v. Merrill	50
Wilson v. Wilson	51
Sadler v. Smith	52
Simon v. La Banque Nationale	53
Finkle v. Date	54
Re Gilchrist. Bohn v. Fyfe	54
Varden v. Varden	55
London and Canada L. and A. Co. v. Pulford ..	56
London and Canada L. and A. Co. v. Morrison..	57
NEW BRUNSWICK REPORTS.	
NOTES OF CASES.	
Supreme Court	58
LAW STUDENTS' DEPARTMENT.	
Examination Questions	60
CORRESPONDENCE	63
REVIEWS	64
FLOTSAM AND JETSAM	65
LAW SOCIETY OF UPPER CANADA	

Canada Law Journal.

Toronto, February, 1879.

WE direct attention to a number of cases decided in Chancery Chambers published in this issue. They have been prepared expressly for this journal and will be of interest to many of our readers. We shall continue to give our readers from time to time early reports of such cases as are of importance to practitioners, both in Common Law and Chancery Chambers.

In *Wheelhouse v. Darch*, 28 C. P. 269, the Court affirmed the law to be that, by twenty years' user, a right of lateral support for the wall of a house will be presumed as against the adjacent owner. In a contemporaneous decision in England, *Angus v. Dalton*, L. R. 3 Q. B. D. 85, the majority of the Court held this presumption to be rebuttable, and that if it appears that no grant were made, or if this can be implied from the circumstances, the presumption fails. This case was carried to appeal, and the Lords Justices have, by a majority, reversed the decision. The effect of this case in appeal is to establish the law that a grant of support to buildings by adjacent lands is presumed from undisturbed user for twenty years, and the fact that there was no grant will not displace this presumption.

Apropos of the discussion in our columns not long since, as to the advisability of publishing the dissenting opinions in Appellate Courts, we find the following observations in the *Solicitors' Journal* of December 14th, 1878:—
 "We have never hesitated to say that we think the rule adopted by the Privy Council of not promulgating dissentient