

catheter after the patient is in position on the table. The advantages are that: (1) We may note the presence or absence of discharges, such as that of gonorrhœa, about the genitals, and their character, a very important kind of evidence which we should lose if we allowed the patient to pass water naturally.

(2) There are many women who when asked to pass water immediately before a pelvic examination are unable from nervousness to do so.

(3) We get an uncontaminated specimen of urine for examination.

When from a suitable position of the patient, whereby the abdominal muscles are thoroughly relaxed, we may still have to contend with rigidity from nervousness or ticklishness on the part of the patient, this may be overcome by a manoeuvre which I frequently practice with success. It consists in making a series of circular, frictional movements over the lower abdomen. These should first be in a circle of relatively wide diameter, the whole abdomen, but gradually narrowed to one much smaller. What do we gain by this manoeuvre? If gently executed we overcome rigidity of the abdominal muscles and we displace gradually the intestines. These movements are the first thing done in the practice of the Thüre-Brandt method of pelvic massage.

Medical students and doctors of little experience have often complained to me of being unable to reach the structures at the upper and back part of the pelvis because their fingers were too short. The relatively long, posterior vaginal wall can be, in a sense, shortened by steady, gentle, continuous pressure on the perineum, whereby it is partially turned into the vagina.

In physical examination for pelvic diagnosis I would strongly urge caution in the use of the sound. Apart from the danger of inducing abortion in unsuspected pregnancy, unless strict asepsis be practised, the sound is a dangerous instrument. Many a woman has died of the uterine sound. In the great majority of cases it cannot be used without abrasion of some part of the uterine canal. Unless instrument, hands, and field of operation be sterile, there is great danger of infection, and this has often been the consequence, setting up more or less serious and sometimes fatal pelvic inflammation.

With all due respect to the great Sir James Simpson and others whose names are so intimately connected with the use of the sound, I am convinced that it is a much overrated instrument. In hands skilled in bimanual palpation it is rarely necessary, while in hands unskilled, it will hardly ever add to useful, practical understanding of the case. As a consultant I have learnt that the sound is a great deal too much used by the general practitioner.

**Mistakes in the diagnosis of retroversion of the uterus, either way,**