

Hope to have legislation in connection with the construction of the water-works. Mr. Meredith—To amend the Act incorporating the London, Huron, and Essex Railway.

Mr. Crooks—To authorize the church-wardens of St. James Church to issue debentures.

Also—To amend the Water-Works Act of the City of Toronto.

Also—To incorporate the Homoeopathic College of Physicians and Surgeons of Ontario.

Mr. Meredith—To authorize the Corporation of the City of Toronto to construct and operate gas works in the city or adjoining municipalities.

Mr. Clarke (Wellington)—Relating to the incorporation of the village of Cliff-forest.

Mr. Williams (Hamilton)—To amend the Act incorporating the Hamilton and North Western Railway Company.

Also—To arrange the debt of the Hamilton and Lake Erie Railway Company, and more closely to define the leasing powers thereof.

Mr. Hodgins—To incorporate the London and Erie Railway Company.

Mr. McLeod—To annex the several Townships of Ashfield, Colborne, and Wawanosh to the South Riding of Huron for registration purposes.

Mr. Bishop—To incorporate the incorporation of the village of Wingham.

Mr. Wood—To authorize the Law Society to admit Arthur O'Leary to the practice of the law.

Also—To authorize the courts of Queen's Bench, Common Pleas, and Chancery, to admit Dominick Blais to practice as attorney and solicitor.

MUNICIPAL INSTITUTIONS.

Mr. Clarke (Wellington) moved that the Bill with regard to Municipal Institutions be referred to a Select Committee, consisting of the following gentlemen:—Hon. Messrs Crooks and Fraser; Messrs McLeod, Tooley, Hardy, Spry, Wood, Bishop, Ardagh, Striker, Finlayson, Calvin, and Clarke (Wellington). Carried.

ORANGE BILLS.

Hon. Mr. Fraser brought down the correspondence and papers in connection with the Orange Incorporation Bills.

Hon. Mr. Crooks moved the house into committee of the whole on the bill to amend the Assessment Act, Mr. Hodgins in the chair.

Mr. Crooks moved the adoption of the first clause, and explained that its introduction was intended to make clear the construction placed on the analogous clauses in the old Act, with regard to property owned out of the Province.

Mr. M. C. Cameron moved that the clause be referred to a Select Committee, but he was not in favour of it.

The first clause was adopted.

The second clause was adopted without discussion.

On motion for the adoption of the third clause.

Mr. Rykert moved, as an amendment that it should be expunged.

The amendment was lost on a division.

With regard to the fourth clause.

Mr. Crooks moved its adoption with the insertion of the following words, "Before owner occupies," in the 39th line.

The motion was carried.

The fifth clause was carried without amendment.

Mr. Crooks, in moving the adoption of the sixth clause stated that the object of this clause was to provide for the assessment of persons who held a lucrative appointment in one Municipality while they resided in another.

Mr. Tooley called attention to a case in his county in which the Registrar and Treasurer of the county lived outside the limits of London, while their office was within the limits of the city. The city had no control over the salaries of these gentlemen. They performed duties on behalf of the county, and their incomes should not be assessable by the city. He contended the clause should be amended by the addition of words, "This clause shall not apply to Municipal Officers."

Mr. Crooks said presently he had no objection to the amendment.

Mr. Finlayson suggested that the words County Municipal Officers should be employed.

The clause, with the last amendment, was carried.

Mr. Crooks next moved the adoption of the seventh clause.

Mr. McLeod objected to the amendment proposed with regard to tax land sales. He said that the clause had been found to work very well.

Mr. Ardagh was of the same opinion.

Mr. Pardee pointed out that a desirable feature with regard to these sales was that they could be conducted with uniformity, and it was considered best, and in the interest of the public, that the sales should be conducted by county officers. Larger audiences would by this means be obtained, and a better price would be realized for the land.

Messrs. Gow, Finlayson, and Rykert were in favour of the law as it stands.

Mr. Pardee said that the clause had been conducted by the county officers according to the requirements of the law as it was prior to the amendments in 1868 or 1869. Communications had been received as to the advisability of changing the law, and he considered the simplest way would be to allow the law to be conducted by the county officers.

Mr. M. C. Cameron said the effect of the clause would be to legalize the sales which the Commissioner of Crown Lands had shown had taken place. If it were in the interest of the public that these sales should be legalized, a Bill should be introduced for that purpose; but he objected to legalizing them by this means. He agreed that it was desirable that there should be uniformity in the manner of conducting the sales, and said it was generally supposed that it would be to the interest of the public for the sales to be conducted by the county officers.

Mr. Hardy pointed out that while the passage of the other clause under consideration would legalize the illegal sales which were at present legal.

Mr. Mowat said the objections which had been made were not of the nature of those which could not be passed as they stood in the Bill.

Mr. Wood (Victoria) was in favour of the law remaining as it stood, and thought that if illegal sales had been made an Act should be passed to make them legal.

Mr. Bethune was of opinion that some amendment to the law was necessary, and said it was true a great many persons did not think there had been any change in the law.

Mr. Cameron said that because some lawyers had not discovered the flaw, that was no reason why it should be allowed to stand.

Mr. Crooks then moved that the seventh and eighth clauses be withdrawn.

Mr. Crooks moved the adoption of the eighth clause, which was carried.

On the 10th clause.

Mr. Crooks proposed an amendment, which he said was intended to make one uniform day for returns of the assessments. He proposed that the day would depend upon a by-law of the corporation, limited by the 49th section of the Act to some day after the first of February. He proposed to make the uniform days for commencing and ending to be in the future the 1st February and the 30th April, respectively.

Mr. Cameron said that the Assessment Act had been amended at all, but when it had been done, he was sorry that some better mode of assessment had not been proposed than that at present existing. Some check should be laid upon the returns.

Mr. Cameron said he was not aware of anything which the proposed amendment would remedy. There was no real necessity for this amendment, and he contended that it was only embarrassing legislation to insert it.

Mr. Crooks said that under the present law the fourth day of the month which was legal for an appeal to be lodged against an assessment in the Court of Revision might be mistaken by the person aggrieved, as the day from which these fourteen days began to be dated were fixed by a by-law of the municipality. According to this Bill as amended, it would be known to all that an appeal must be lodged fourteen days after the 30th April. He pointed out that all the subsequent clauses were in harmony with this.

Mr. Finlayson pointed out that owing to the date at which County Councils were required to make their assessments, it would be too early for the appeal to be lodged on the 1st of February.

Hon. Mr. Crooks said he would alter the date to the 15th of February.

Mr. Clarke (Norfolk) thought ample time should be given to the Assessor to perform his duties. He, however, asserted that there was very wide dissatisfaction with the present law throughout the Province.

Mr. Scott suggested that the winter was a bad season for making assessments in country places, and urged that they should be fixed to take place in the summer time.

Mr. McManus thought townships should themselves have the power to fix the days upon which the assessment should begin.

Mr. Wood said that two sessions ago he introduced a Bill to change the time of assessment, but withdrew it on a promise by the Government to deal with the whole matter during the succeeding Session. Next Session he introduced a similar Bill. Next was a general discussion, and resulted in a very fair expression of the House upon the whole subject. He again withdrew the Bill on the understanding that this Session there was to be a general Act. Though he approved of the Bill before the House, he failed to see in anything like a general amendment. It did not grasp the great objection which the people have against the Assessment Act. What was wanted was a measure which would assess all property at its proper value, whereas at present the assessments were, if not dishonestly made, at least most unfair. The law ought to be such as would compel assessors and courts of revision to do their duties. The present system was wrong. He also took exception to the character of the exemptions. He did not see why a merchant going to Europe and purchasing \$100,000 worth of goods for which he paid cash, should be allowed to bring the goods home and put them in his warehouse, be assessed thereon on a different principle from the man who bought the same amount of goods upon credit. The complaint throughout the country was that the Councils assessed Conservators of the Peace, and that they were assessed, and ought to be put to stop. The Government, he thought, should make some general proposition of amendment, so as to invite the House to take into consideration the different amendments which were required.

After a few remarks from Mr. Prince, the clause was carried.

The 11th, 12th, 13th, 14th, 15th, 16th, and 17th clauses were passed with verbal amendments, assimilating them to the 10th clause as amended.

On the 18th clause.

Mr. Currie suggested that appeal from assessments should be made to the Superior Courts in certain cases.

Hon. Mr. Crooks promised to consider the matter before the third reading.

The other clauses of the Bill were adopted.

On motion to adopt the preamble of the Bill.

Mr. Stittard suggested the striking out of clause 169 in the original Act.

Mr. Bethune also suggested that charges against assessors should be tried by a superior Court Judge without a jury, which he thought would act as a deterrent to them to prevent scandals about tampering with assessments.

After a few remarks from Messrs. McManus, McLeod, and Bishop, the preamble was adopted. The bill with amendments was then reported, and its reception fixed for to-morrow.

PRINCIPAL BILLS.

Mr. Cameron said he had heard that the Law Clerk had been instructed not to allow any private Bills of a character which might come under the General Bill to go to the printers. He wished to know if this were correct—if any officer of the Government had authority to direct an officer of this House to do that which might be against the wishes of the House. He was not aware that any member of the Government had a right to direct an officer of the House to do anything, if the House passed a law through it first, and by the rules of the House that Bill should be printed and go to the Committee on Private Bills; and no gentleman connected with the Government had a right to give any other direction without the assent of the House.

Mr. Mowat said he would enquire into the matter.

THE ESTIMATES.

Mr. Cameron said the estimates had been promised yesterday, and they were not yet brought down.

Hon. Mr. Crooks said they had been in print, but on account of some alterations they had to be reprinted.

THE MUNICIPAL LOAN FUND.

Mr. Rykert reminded the Government that they had promised to bring down the paper connected with the amended Municipal Loan Fund Scheme, and had not yet done so.

Hon. Mr. Crooks said some of the papers were already printed, and there was further matter in the printer's hands. He might bring those which were already prepared.

The House then took recess, it being six o'clock.

ESTABLISHMENT OF MUNICIPAL INSTITUTIONS.

Mr. Fraser moved the House into Committee of the whole on the Bill to amend the Act establishing Municipal Institutions in the Districts of Parry Sound, Muskoka, Nipissing and Thunder Bay, Mr. Bethune in the chair.

The first clause was carried.

On the second clause.

Mr. Cameron pointed out that the stipendiary magistrates were not material persons, and that no material benefit would result.

Hon. Mr. Fraser said that certainly the stipendiary magistrates would be quite competent to perform the duties.

The clause was adopted.

The third and fourth clauses were passed without amendment, and the seventh clause was adopted with slight verbal amendments.

Classes 9, 9, and 10 were passed without amendment.

Hon. Mr. Fraser moved that the following clause should be added to the Bill: "From and after the passing of this Act, the townships of McTavish and Sibley and all other parts of the municipality of Shuniah lying to the south of the line of the township of McTavish shall form a part of that municipality, and shall be subject to the provisions of the Act in the recital hereof mentioned, as well as to this Act."

Mr. Cameron considered this amendment should form the subject of a separate Bill. Some persons living in that district in question, might object to such a course, and he questioned very much whether honourable gentlemen had the power to legislate in this way.

Mr. Oliver was opposed to the cutting up of the municipalities in this manner, and trusted the hon. gentleman would modify the clause. He trusted that if the clause were retained, the same power would be given to every portion of the municipality.

Hon. Mr. Fraser said the complaint of hon. friend was that the measure did not go far enough, and that the same power would be given to the whole municipality. He trusted they would see fit to allow the clause to pass.

Mr. Clarke (Norfolk) stated that the municipality of Shuniah had grown recently to about 300 souls, and referred to the letter which recently appeared in THE GLOBE from Mr. Peter McKellar, treating of the whole Thunder Bay District, which he said gave all the information it was possible to have. The hon. member for Oxford stated that the fact was that he stated that only four of the Councils resided in the Landings. He was opposed to the proposition of the Government, which, coming as it did, was unfair and unjust.

Mr. Prince thought the greater portion of the members who spoke upon the subject were hardly warranted in their remarks. He referred to those amendments which were introduced by the Government in 1871, there were considerable differences of opinion. A considerable power was conferred upon the Council of Public Instruction, to the exclusion of the other provisions of the Bill. He thought it was only proper to give the provisions of that amended Act a fair trial, and accordingly he left a good deal of his own friends upon that side of the House would have desired to see changed. If every man were to have his own objection, it had been taken care to be continued, it was absolutely necessary that increased vitality should be imparted to the Council of Public Instruction, and the proposal to effect that object was one of the most important parts of the Bill. Another most important part of the Bill was the proposal to give the Council of Public Instruction the power to purchase land anywhere, at the discretion of School Trustees, instead of at the discretion of the Corporation. It was just now allowed upon the ground that the legislation he proposed upon this point was correct in principle, even assuming that there had been nothing blameworthy with regard to the management of the Depository by the Council. However fairly the Council might be presumed to be acting, it was not right to allow it to hold its present powers without considerable changes in its composition and the mode of its proceedings. At present it consisted of a number of learned and very respectable gentlemen, but they were practically, they were practically unknown. The very object of the Bill was to give them no publication of their proceedings in any way. There was no record kept of their votes with regard to questions upon which the public felt that considerable importance attached; there was no knowledge on the part of the public of the interests of the people in these matters; and lastly, the appointments were practically for life—one of the greatest objections to its present composition. It consisted at present of eight members and the Chief Superintendent of Education; they held office, and were not subject to the control of the Government, according to the Act, but practically they were appointed for life. The public, therefore, had no confidence in it, for neither were they amenable to public opinion in any way or another. If any body of men got power over the interests of the people of this country, similar to those given to the Council of Education, their proceedings must be conducted openly; they could not retain their existence unless the people knew what they were doing, and were aware of the stages by which their proceedings were ripened into action. He proposed that the members of the Council of Education should hold office for two years only. He did not propose that the time should absolutely be two years. What he proposed was to make the present law operative, and if members had served their term, they could be re-elected. There would thus be a constant revision of that portion of the Council which was appointed by the people—practically the nominees of the Government, who are in possession of the confidence of the people's representatives. He was quite sure the result would be to give increased weight to the Council in the estimation of the country, and also infusing new energy into its action. It was proposed also to amend the system by which universities were represented in the Council. By the present law, a small number of persons, at all events, the various academic bodies affiliated with the University of Toronto were supposed to be represented by the head of each individual body, but they never attended. There was no provision for paying them travelling expenses, and practically they might just as well not have been there at all. He proposed three changes in respect of this particular matter. First, that these academic bodies should choose their own representative, instead of sending an individual named by the

Government, whose appointment was as good as for life. The head of the institution might not have the necessary time to spare, he might not be a suitable man for the position, and he might not be so well fitted to discharge the duties as some other person whom it was the desire of those interested to send. He proposed that these bodies should have the power of nominating their selection every two years, and that in order to secure their attendance, their travelling expenses should be paid. It had been suggested that they should receive an indemnity over and above, but he thought that was a proposition that he, however, thought should be considered in the future. He considered it reasonable as well as useful that they should be represented. He therefore proposed that High School Teachers should have one representative, Common School Teachers, one, and County Inspectors, one—these to be elected every two years. He contended that every member should look upon the matter with regard to public feeling or falling or personal prejudice; and he was sure that the proposed changes would have the effect of adding largely to the public confidence with which the Council should be invested, and ought to desire, to be regarded, in so far as it could thus no longer be attacked by outsiders and have their judgments influenced. It was complained that no publicity was given to the proceedings of the Council of Public Instruction, but the minutes of their meetings were laid before hon. gentlemen, and if they were not made public it was the fault of the hon. gentleman themselves. He did not think the hon. Premier had made an unnecessary attack on the Council of Public Instruction, and he felt strongly opposed to passing the Bill in its present shape. He did not wish to stand in the way of improving our Common and High School system, but he was certain the present measure would not prove beneficial. The hon. member might satisfy some hon. gentlemen outside by destroying the school depository, but they would not generally improve the system by tinkering with the law.

Mr. Bethune regretted that upon this occasion he was compelled to differ with the hon. leader of the Administration. He was obliged to do so, however, for two or three reasons. Everything he believed, ought to be done to improve our High and Common School Education. He believed in the first place that the Council of Public Instruction had been a failure, and that the expenditure of the Council was not justified. He was satisfied that the Council was as far as personal interest was concerned, but he believed they had been behind the age, acquainted with the sympathies and feelings of the largest portion of the population of this country. They were for the most part persons residing in the city, and they had the most part of the hon. people in the country got on, and as to the wants of the people residing in rural districts. No doubt the Superintendent of Education had done a great deal for education in this country, but that gentleman, during the occupation of his office, had acquired many hobbies. This was shown in the papers he had supplied the House with. When Mr. Sandfield Macdonald introduced his School Bill, the confidence of the people was withdrawn from that gentleman, because there was an opinion that there was too much pressure put upon him by the Chief Superintendent of Education. The question was a very difficult one to deal with, because it affected the conscience and the pockets of the people. One of the reasons why the Council of Public Instruction had been a failure was that the Chief Superintendent of Education had not always been a supporter of the Council. That gentleman had shown a weakness for letter writing, and principally from that there had been a feeling abroad that the Council of Public Instruction was a mere register of the will of the Chief Superintendent of Education, and a want of confidence was consequently felt. He did not yet charge that gentleman with any impropriety, but from time to time he had descended from the position of neutrality which he ought to occupy, and so far forgotten his duty as to write letters of an aggravated character, attacking the Council, and then another, and of the one addressed to his hon. friend the leader of the administration. It was necessary that public officers should abstain from that kind of thing without the consent of the Government or his hon. friend the leader of the administration. When the hon. member for Langton came out with a violent philippic against the persons who had attacked him about the prison? He (Mr. Bethune) maintained that it was not conducive to the public service for public officers to take up the position of a correspondent to the newspapers. The result of this correspondence was that the Council of Public Instruction had been the withdrawal of public confidence from him. He (Mr. Bethune) did not believe the legislation was in the right direction. He thought the head of the Education Department should be directly responsible to the people, and that the Council of Public Instruction should be given up. This was the only way in which harmony with the sentiments of the people could be obtained, and it was absolutely necessary to protect our educational system. The Government has now either to do something to remove the existing evil, or let out the Council of Public Instruction. The next best thing that he had proposed to bring the Council in harmony with the people had been adopted. Another reason why the Council had been a failure was that when the Act of Mr. Sandfield Macdonald was made in the school depository, it was made to centralize the power. The result of that Act was the passage of regulations of Council in reference to school houses, which provided for the inspection of school houses, and in the event of there not being adequate accommodation, for the removal of the school house. This was found to work unfairly. In some instances the school accommodation was greatly superior to the accommodation in the homes of the children. He considered that in such instances both cases should be taken into consideration. He, however, maintained that the Council of Public Instruction had not the power to make these regulations. The members of that Council assumed powers not vested in them by statute—he did not say intentionally. It was proposed to extend the compulsory clauses of the Act of 1870-1. His hon. friend said he proposed to alleviate

them, but he (Mr. Bethune) considered it was an extension of them. It was not a pleasant duty for any person to become a prosecutor, and there was nothing more disagreeable than to prosecute one's neighbor. And if there was one thing more odious than another, it was to prosecute a man for not sending his children to school. The compulsory clause was not necessary in this country. Only 12,000 children were represented as not attending school, and the legislation in this particular had been a dead letter, and he believed this was legislation in the wrong direction. The law would be, if the Bill were passed, that the unfortunate man who kept his child from school would have to pay \$12 a year for keeping his children from school. It would be found utterly impossible to legislate the sentiments of the people. He also objected to the clause compelling Councils to raise sums in each case equivalent to the Government grant. This he considered was a Provincial matter. The Common School question was a matter of local importance, while the other, as he said before, was of Provincial importance, and should therefore be paid out of the Provincial Exchequer. He submitted that the wisest way would be to make grants out of the Public Exchequer in aid of these Grammar Schools if they were in a languishing condition. He observed that if an attempt was to be made to obtain for the Journal of Education some legislative sanction. The cost of the publication of that paper was \$2,500 a year, and was merely so much waste paper; as far as it appeared, that these were only 12,000 children not attending school in Ontario last year, this clause would not, after all, prove a very great difficulty. With reference to Inspectors, he remarked that the duty of an Inspector would not be enough in small towns to employ much of their time, and he was enough to be employed in some cases inspectors to fill the office. There had been cases already in which the office had gone a begging. He did not think, with a previous speaker, that it was a matter to regret that the High Schools had not increased. One efficient school in a county was more valuable than a hundred not efficient. The fact was there were too many High Schools, and there were places where there were not a High School—an efficient one—there would be a good Public School. Another objection which had also been urged to the present law was that too much power was given to the Inspector. He agreed that in some cases inspectors had exercised indirectly the power the law gave them.

Mr. Craig (Glengarry) contended that a compulsory clause was necessary, for even in wealthy sections, the people would frequently neglect to erect proper school houses. He held also that this clause did not require the sending of children to school as to cause hardship even to parents. With reference to the Inspectors, he remarked that if in any case it was that the Inspector was not such a man as should fill the office, it was easy enough to get rid of him.

Mr. Clarke (Norfolk) suggested that there were several gentlemen who wanted to speak on this Bill, the debate should be adjourned.

Mr. McLeod agreed with Mr. Clarke. He moved the adjournment of the debate until to-morrow.

The House then, at 11:45 adjourned.

THE WILD NORTH LAND.

Capt. Butler author of the "The Great Loan Land," has published a work entitled "The Wild North Land, being the story of the best country in the world, situated between the Rocky Mountains and the Pacific Ocean." In the appendix he refers to the Administration for the Canadian Pacific Railway, and in the course of his remarks says:—

"But to cross the Fraser River would be a work of enormous magnitude—much greater than any thing at present existing on the earth; for at no point of its course from Quemselle to Lytton is the Fraser less than 1,200 below the level of the land at either side of it and from one steep scarp bank to the other is a distance of a mile or more than a day's journey."

"How, I ask, is this mighty fissure, extending right down the country from north to south, to be crossed, and a passage gained to the Pacific? I answer that the true passage of the Pacific lies far north the Fraser River, and the true route of the Pacific Mountains lies far north of the Tete Jaune Pass."

Captain Butler goes on to say that "one hundred miles north of the Tete Jaune, on the east, or Saskatchewan side of the Rocky Mountains, there lies a beautiful land. It is some of the richest prairie land in the entire range of the North-west." The Peace River lies north of this prairie country. "The Smoking River flows almost through its centre. * * * The average elevation of this prairie above sea level would be under 2,000 feet. In the mountains lying west and east of the Tete Jaune Pass, one is the Peace River. * * * The other is a pass lying some thirty or forty miles south of the Pacific River, known at present only to the Indians, but well worth the trouble and expense of a thorough exploration, or Canada rapidly deciding upon the best routes across its wide Dominion."

Captain Butler continues, "And here I may allude to the exploratory surveys which the Canadian Government has already inaugurated. A great amount of work has, without doubt, been accomplished by the explorations of the North-west. The Peace River is over the long line from Ottawa to New Westminster; but the results have not been, so far, equal to the expenditure of the surveys, or to the means placed at the disposal of various parties. * * * But I cannot refrain from paying a passing tribute to the energy and courage displayed by the gentlemen who, during the close of the summer 1872, crossed the mountains by the Peace River Pass, and reached the West at Fort Simpson, near the mouth of the Skeena River."

In support of the route through the Peace River Pass, the author says: "Butler presents a formidable array of arguments—topographical, agricultural, and climatic. He states that 'the present projected line through the Saskatchewan is eminently unsuited to the settlement, as it crosses the bleak, poor prairies of the West. The route through the Peace River Pass, on the other hand, is a pass lying some thirty or forty miles south of the Pacific River, known at present only to the Indians, but well worth the trouble and expense of a thorough exploration, or Canada rapidly deciding upon the best routes across its wide Dominion.'"

We are informed that it is proposed to make a trial for six months of the route of the Pacific through the Peace River Pass, and that in any case, will be indicated during that period except with the opinion of the Inspector or Principal.

gentlemen in committee would be brought forth, and the hon. leader of the Government would find that neither the Bill under consideration nor the Municipal Act Amendment Bill would pass without considerable alterations. In his opinion the measure proposed would completely destroy the High School System. He quite agreed with the hon. gentleman that everything should be done to foster and encourage High Schools, but he was disposed to think that County Councils in regard to these to a far greater extent than before. This Bill proposed that County Councils should give an equal sum to the Government grant the increased amount to High Schools year after year. Section 29 gave County Councils the power of doing away with High Schools. This was exactly what they had been seeking for many years. If County Councils were compelled to pay the increased sums for the maintenance of High Schools, it would exercise the power conferred upon them by clause 29. This was a most dangerous power to give to County Councils. He was opposed to the admission of Common school pupils to High Schools as provided in the Act, and contended the introduction of the clause of the decided opinion which had been previously given concerning the principle by the Treasurer. He objected to the system of examinations proposed, and held it would not prove salutary in its results. The power ought not to be given to an inspector to turn a child out of a school after he had been there a month or two if he failed to pass the examination satisfactorily. The hon. leader of the Government had a strong desire to change the construction of the Council of Public Instruction. He (Mr. Rykert) stated that one of the safeguards of our Bench was that our judges were placed there for life, and could not be attacked by outsiders and have their judgments influenced. It was complained that no publicity was given to the proceedings of the Council of Public Instruction, but the minutes of their meetings were laid before hon. gentlemen, and if they were not made public it was the fault of the hon. gentleman themselves. He did not think the hon. Premier had made an unnecessary attack on the Council of Public Instruction, and he felt strongly opposed to passing the Bill in its present shape. He did not wish to stand in the way of improving our Common and High School system, but he was certain the present measure would not prove beneficial. The hon. member might satisfy some hon. gentlemen outside by destroying the school depository, but they would not generally improve the system by tinkering with the law.

Mr. Bethune regretted that upon this occasion he was compelled to differ with the hon. leader of the Administration. He was obliged to do so, however, for two or three reasons. Everything he believed, ought to be done to improve our High and Common School Education. He believed in the first place that the Council of Public Instruction had been a failure, and that the expenditure of the Council was not justified. He was satisfied that the Council was as far as personal interest was concerned, but he believed they had been behind the age, acquainted with the sympathies and feelings of the largest portion of the population of this country. They were for the most part persons residing in the city, and they had the most part of the hon. people in the country got on, and as to the wants of the people residing in rural districts. No doubt the Superintendent of Education had done a great deal for education in this country, but that gentleman, during the occupation of his office, had acquired many hobbies. This was shown in the papers he had supplied the House with. When Mr. Sandfield Macdonald introduced his School Bill, the confidence of the people was withdrawn from that gentleman, because there was an opinion that there was too much pressure put upon him by the Chief Superintendent of Education. The question was a very difficult one to deal with, because it affected the conscience and the pockets of the people. One of the reasons why the Council of Public Instruction had been a failure was that the Chief Superintendent of Education had not always been a supporter of the Council. That gentleman had shown a weakness for letter writing, and principally from that there had been a feeling abroad that the Council of Public Instruction was a mere register of the will of the Chief Superintendent of Education, and a want of confidence was consequently felt. He did not yet charge that gentleman with any impropriety, but from time to time he had descended from the position of neutrality which he ought to occupy, and so far forgotten his duty as to write letters of an aggravated character, attacking the Council, and then another, and of the one addressed to his hon. friend the leader of the administration. It was necessary that public officers should abstain from that kind of thing without the consent of the Government or his hon. friend the leader of the administration. When the hon. member for Langton came out with a violent philippic against the persons who had attacked him about the prison? He (Mr. Bethune) maintained that it was not conducive to the public service for public officers to take up the position of a correspondent to the newspapers. The result of this correspondence was that the Council of Public Instruction had been the withdrawal of public confidence from him. He (Mr. Bethune) did not believe the legislation was in the right direction. He thought the head of the Education Department should be directly responsible to the people, and that the Council of Public Instruction should be given up. This was the only way in which harmony with the sentiments of the people could be obtained, and it was absolutely necessary to protect our educational system. The Government has now either to do something to remove the existing evil, or let out the Council of Public Instruction. The next best thing that he had proposed to bring the Council in harmony with the people had been adopted. Another reason why the Council had been a failure was that when the Act of Mr. Sandfield Macdonald was made in the school depository, it was made to centralize the power. The result of that Act was the passage of regulations of Council in reference to school houses, which provided for the inspection of school houses, and in the event of there not being adequate accommodation, for the removal of the school house. This was found to work unfairly. In some instances the school accommodation was greatly superior to the accommodation in the homes of the children. He considered that in such instances both cases should be taken into consideration. He, however, maintained that the Council of Public Instruction had not the power to make these regulations. The members of that Council assumed powers not vested in them by statute—he did not say intentionally. It was proposed to extend the compulsory clauses of the Act of 1870-1. His hon. friend said he proposed to alleviate

them, but he (Mr. Bethune) considered it was an extension of them. It was not a pleasant duty for any person to become a prosecutor, and there was nothing more disagreeable than to prosecute one's neighbor. And if there was one thing more odious than another, it was to prosecute a man for not sending his children to school. The compulsory clause was not necessary in this country. Only 12,000 children were represented as not attending school, and the legislation in this particular had been a dead letter, and he believed this was legislation in the wrong direction. The law would be, if the Bill were passed, that the unfortunate man who kept his child from school would have to pay \$12 a year for keeping his children from school. It would be found utterly impossible to legislate the sentiments of the people. He also objected to the clause compelling Councils to raise sums in each case equivalent to the Government grant. This he considered was a Provincial matter. The Common School question was a matter of local importance, while the other, as he said before, was of Provincial importance, and should therefore be paid out of the Provincial Exchequer. He submitted that the wisest way would be to make grants out of the Public Exchequer in aid of these Grammar Schools if they were in a languishing condition. He observed that if an attempt was to be made to obtain for the Journal of Education some legislative sanction. The cost of the publication of that paper was \$2,500 a year, and was merely so much waste paper; as far as it appeared, that these were only 12,000 children not attending school in Ontario last year, this clause would not, after all, prove a very great difficulty. With reference to Inspectors, he remarked that the duty of an Inspector would not be enough in small towns to employ much of their time, and he was enough to be employed in some cases inspectors to fill the office. There had been cases already in which the office had gone a begging. He did not think, with a previous speaker, that it was a matter to regret that the High Schools had not increased. One efficient school in a county was more valuable than a hundred not efficient. The fact was there were too many High Schools, and there were places where there were not a High School—an efficient one—there would be a good Public School. Another objection which had also been urged to the present law was that too much power was given to the Inspector. He agreed that in some cases inspectors had exercised indirectly the power the law gave them.

Mr. Craig (Glengarry) contended that a compulsory clause was necessary, for even in wealthy sections, the people would frequently neglect to erect proper school houses. He held also that this clause did not require the sending of children to school as to cause hardship even to parents. With reference to the Inspectors, he remarked that if in any case it was that the Inspector was not such a man as should fill the office, it was easy enough to get rid of him.

Mr. Clarke (Norfolk) suggested that there were several gentlemen who wanted to speak on this Bill, the debate should be adjourned.

Mr. McLeod agreed with Mr. Clarke. He moved the adjournment of the debate until to-morrow.

The House then, at 11:45 adjourned.