

defamation, that will be imputable to your Lordship alone, and to no one else.

Lest such a demand should be thought unreasonable, I will point out what appears to me some satisfactory reasons for requiring it, and they are the following:—

I. The introduction of General Evans' name could only have been justified by the necessity of the thing; and this involves, firstly, the necessity of writing the Pastoral against Archdeacon Hellmuth at all, and secondly, the necessity of referring to this Church affair as a justification for such a course. As to the first, you say in your letter to me, that "The Archdeacon's violent attacks upon the Canadian Church and Canadian Institutions, rendered your interference necessary." Now that this point has been cleared up by three Pastorals from your Lordship, and three replies from the Archdeacon, it is manifest that Dr. Hellmuth made no attack upon the Canadian Church and Canadian Institutions—that your Lordship, with a view manifestly to prejudice Dr. Hellmuth in the estimation of the Clergy and Churchmen of the Province, tortured his remarks at Islington into a sweeping attack of this kind, instead of accepting his explanations of their purport: that his remarks had reference to Trinity College, and to Evangelical men *as such*; that Dr. Hellmuth's previous labours on behalf of Lennoxville and Sabreovis Colleges would necessarily show that he could only have referred to the one Institution of Trinity College, which, by the way, is more properly a Church Institution than a Canadian one, as this term is only strictly applicable to the Provincial University; that you understood the sense in which Dr. Hellmuth used the term *Evangelical*; that, if there were any doubt, you had Dr. Hellmuth's explanation to this effect in his reply to *Presbyter*, to which he referred you; that notwithstanding the non-necessity therefore of any interference on your part, you did interfere, and, I am afraid, your warmest friend cannot say successfully.

As to the second point. The necessity of introducing this Church proposition at all into the discussion, seems also against your Lordship. Dr. Hellmuth testifies, as he avows, to two or possibly to three facts, viz.: That the teaching of Trinity College was dangerous from its Tractarianism, or "unsafe approximation to Rome;" that Evangelical men, as such, were few, and were not generally encouraged; and, lastly, (which was, perhaps, the true cause of your