

tutes or societies, concerning the effects of the manufacturing clause of the United States Copyright Act on the printing industry in Canada, and a copy of the replies thereto.—(*Notice of Motion for the Production of Papers No. 125*).

By Miss LaMarsh,—Return to an Order of the House, dated February 9, 1966, for a copy of the agenda of each meeting or conference of the B.C.-Yukon Indian Advisory Council.—(*Notice of Motion for the Production of Papers No. 14*).

By Miss LaMarsh,—Return to an Order of the House, dated February 9, 1966, for a copy of all briefs, submissions and formal statements presented to any meetings or conferences of the B.C.-Yukon Indian Advisory Council.—(*Notice of Motion for the Production of Papers No. 15*).

By Miss LaMarsh,—Return to an Order of the House, dated February 9, 1966, for a copy of any minutes or transcript of proceedings of any meetings or conferences of the B.C.-Yukon Indian Advisory Council.—(*Notice of Motion for the Production of Papers No. 17*).

By Miss LaMarsh,—Return to an Order of the House, dated June 22, 1966, for a copy of the names, by province, of all persons sentenced to preventative detention under Section 660 of the Criminal Code in the past ten years; where, when and by whom they were sentenced; whether they were represented by counsel and by whom; the past records of all those sentenced; when the sentence was imposed following their conviction as habitual criminals; by whom they were sentenced and the location of the people concerned serving these sentences.—(*Notice of Motion for the Production of Papers No. 141*).

By Miss LaMarsh,—Return to an Order of the House, dated September 7, 1966, (*Question No. 1,800*) showing: 1. Regarding the answer to Question No. 1,649, have any claims been presented which contained expenses of moving buildings in the area mentioned in the question named above, which called for 90% contribution by the federal government?

2. If so, have they been paid either in full or partially?
3. What are the names of the parties on whose behalf claims were made and the amounts claimed for each of them?
4. Have any claims for moving buildings been disallowed or are any presently unpaid?
5. If so, what are the names of the parties on whose behalf claims have been made?

By Miss LaMarsh,—Return to an Order of the House, dated February 16, 1966, for a copy of all correspondence with the Indian Affairs Branch and/or the Department of Northern Affairs and National Resources, relative to the problem of water pollution in the Winnipeg River as it affects the Town of Pine Falls and the Fort Alexander Indian Reserve.—(*Notice of Motion for the Production of Papers No. 88*).

By Miss LaMarsh,—Return to an Address, dated June 8, 1966, to His Excellency the Governor General for copies of all letters and other communications that have been received by the Prime Minister or other members of the Government of Canada since the first day of June, 1965, from the Premier or other members of the Government of Newfoundland, and/or John Doyle and