

described property to enforce the forfeiture thereof, and requiring notice to be given to all persons to appear and show cause, on the return day of said process why said forfeiture should not be decreed; and that after due proceedings are had, all of said property be adjudged, decreed and condemned as forfeited to the use of the United States; and for such other relief as may be proper in the premises.

Dated 20th September, 1886.

M. D. BALL, *United States District Attorney for the District of Alaska.*

On the same day was filed the following claim:—

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF ALASKA.

In Admiralty.

In the matter of the libel of information against the schooner "Onward" her tackle, apparel, furniture and cargo.

Claim of Master for Owner.

And now Daniel Monroe, master of the schooner "Onward," intervening for the interests of Charles Spring & Co., of Victoria, B. C.; the owners of the schooner "Onward," her tackle, apparel, furniture and cargo, as set forth in the libel of information herein, appears before this honorable court and makes claim to the said schooner "Onward," her tackle, apparel, furniture and cargo, as set forth in the said libel of information and as the same are attached by the marshal under process of this court at the instance of M. D. Ball, Esq., United States district attorney for the District of Alaska.

And the said Daniel Monroe avers that the said Charles Spring & Co. were in possession of the said schooner "Onward" at the time of the attachment thereof, and that the said Charles Spring & Co., above named, are the true *bona fide* owners of the said schooner, her tackle, apparel, furniture and cargo as seized by the marshal aforesaid and that no other person is the owner thereof.

Wherefore he prays to defend accordingly.

DANIEL MONROE.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this }
18th day of September, A.D. 1886 }

[L.S.] ANDREW T. LEWIS, *Clerk of U. S. District Court for the District of Alaska.*
W. CLARK & D. A. DINGLEY, *Proctors for Claimant.*

On the same day was filed also the following demurrer:—

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE DISTRICT OF ALASKA.

UNITED STATES

vs.

CHARLES SPRING AND SCHOONER "ONWARD."

Demurrer.

The demurrer of Charles Spring & Co., claimants of the property proceeded against in the above cause to the amended information filed herein.

1st. The said claimants by protestation, not confessing all or any of the matters in said amended information to be true, demurs thereto and says that the said matters in manner and form, as the same are in said information stated and set forth, are not sufficient in law for the United States to have and maintain their said action for the forfeiture of the property aforesaid.

2nd. The said claimants by protestation deny that this court has jurisdiction to determine or try the question hereby put in issue.

3rd. And that said claimants are not bound by law to answer the same.