

doubtless, would never be made a rule by the University, but depends entirely on the individual Professors. To them we recommend it and can assure them that the change would be greatly appreciated by the students.

THERE is a question that has often been asked students: Why has Queen's no College colors? which has been almost invariably answered: Because it hasn't. Now while the subject is not one of the greatest importance, we still think that the adoption of some colors would be agreeably entertained by all the students. When our number was small it was perhaps judicious not to wear any distinguishing mark, as that would have showed that paucity but too plainly. Now, however, that the undergraduates have so increased that the old buildings are no longer able to hold them, why should we not have some mark by which we should know each other and the citizens generally know us! There are particular occasions, however, when college colors are extremely convenient. How our foot ball club has existed so long without some badge we hardly know. Had it been an aquatic club there is no doubt that distinctive colors would have been soon chosen: and it surely is as much of a convenience to a foot ball club, rather more—for in a foot ball match the players become so inextricably mingled, that without distinctive colors it is impossible at times for the onlookers to distinguish friends from foes, while in a rowing match those acquainted with either of the opposing parties could always distinguish them. Then also were our Athletic Association at all a live institution, distinctive colors would be desired, and in case the newly formed Snow Shoe Club decides to have any kind of uniform, the requisite colors would be the first things chosen. We might suggest to the officers of the

Snow Shoe and Foot Ball Clubs in case they determine to select colors, to meet and decide on the same, that the colors may not represent the clubs so much as the College; the form of wearing will be sufficient to distinguish the clubs.

“**W**HAT the editor of a Journal does not know is scarcely worth knowing.” We know that at the last meeting of the University Council a committee was appointed to consider the expediency of re-establishing a Law Faculty in connection with our Alma Mater. What that committee has done we do not know, but then “what the editor of a Journal, &c.” We think that it is high time that the gentlemen on this committee bestirred themselves. The necessity for Law Schools seems to be growing more and more felt. A writer in the last number of the *Canadian Monthly* graphically portrays the miseries of a poor student-at-law grappling with “those subtle distinctions, those mysteries and intricacies, those difficulties of the path, those boulders of the law, that have existed, do exist and will continue to exist,” disconsolate and alone, with no friendly hand to help, no warning voice to guide. We have looked inside a lawyer's office occasionally and seen the poor clerks plodding away drawing conveyances like so many cart-horses, and have wondered how these poor mortals ever learned enough to pass out of their embryo state into the perfect legal gentleman. No proper instruction is ever given to would-be Cokes and Blackstones, what they learn they pick up by themselves the best way they can. We want to help these poor youths and also to elevate the profession. Let the committee aforesaid get to work. The opening of our new buildings would be a splendid time for launching the Faculty of Law. The Bar of Kingston has ever stood high in the ranks of the profession, and if