

local specific inflammation; 2, a general septic condition, at first caused by, and afterwards aided by, absorption from this local inflammation.

While many eminent practitioners depend upon general medication, and some have quite abandoned all forms of local treatment, it is evident that all indications are not met unless attention is given to the local manifestation of diphtheria. If the disease is of local origin, if the systemic infection is constantly receiving fresh re-enforcement by means of the ready absorption of the specific poison—aid the system by all means to throw off the incubus of infection, but also limit if possible the further supply.

How shall this best be done? This depends upon the amount of local progress. I do not hesitate to say that I have seen a local diphtheritic exudation melt away in three or four days under proper local applications, the system being at the same time well guarded. But were these true cases of diphtheria? This much in affirmation: Several of these of which I speak were in families where one child had just died from diphtheria, where the symptoms were all indicative of diphtheria, and where there had been every opportunity for infection.

An old cry is that a physician who professes to conduct his cases of diphtheria to a favorable termination is an alarmist, and his cases are simply follicular amygdalitis. Such a pitiable antagonism is unworthy a scientist. Mistakes do occur, and it is better they should be on the safe side; but I am willing to call a case diphtheria where I find that the child, having been exposed to the contagium, has anywhere upon the mucous membrane of the upper passages a thick, continuous yellow exudation, closely adherent to the mucous membrane, with a tendency to necrosis and sloughing, especially if the pulse is quick and weak and the temperature above normal. It is possible that such a case is not diphtheritic, but it is not probable, and we deal with probabilities. The differences in local appearance and general condition between a follicular exudation and the characteristic false membrane of diphtheria are usually so marked that the physician need not be mistaken, and if he does err, let him give the child the benefit of the doubt.

Beyond this class we have another or advanced degree of the same class in which there can be no doubt as to the type of disease. We find it when called two or three days after the first attack. No longer is there now a small patch confined to the tonsil, or to a small part of the pharyngeal wall or soft palate. The natural guardians of the child have slept and the insidious enemy is in full possession. A dense dirty-yellow and sometimes disintegrating exudation is found closely attached to the natural tissues in some places, and in others hanging in loose shreds,

while the naso-pharynx is filled with detached portions of membrane, retained mucus, and sometimes blood, and poison from this septic hot-bed is being rapidly absorbed and carried to the most remote parts of the little frame. Each of these classes of cases demands special and distinct local management.

Let us consider the first class, where the membrane is yet small in extent and of recent formation. Can we close the portals of the absorbents and render the existing local focus of disease inert? After experimenting with many formulæ, I have for several years renewed my confidence in the mixture of equal parts of glycerin and tincture of chloride of iron. The most fashionable and really excellent practice of using bichloride of mercury provides for antiseptic, but not for the equally important matter of astringency. But little manipulation is needed in these early cases. A cotton-covered probe is by far the best instrument, and with it the solution is not merely brushed over, but pressed against, the point of attack. There is no necessity of hurting the child if care is taken, but, on the other hand, I retain a vivid picture of the good old doctor, conscientiously bound to do something, his spectacles awry, plunging a "swab" at random down the throat of a kicking child, or through the clinched teeth, scraping the mucous membrane from the roof of the mouth by the good help of the ubiquitous tablespoon. By proper tact the application may be made easily, and, if it is repeated frequently—*i. e.*, every two hours—its efficiency will soon be demonstrated.

In the more advanced class of cases much more than this is needed. The extent of false membrane is greater, it is more difficult to reach, and the upper respiratory passages are obstructed. First, all of the detached membrane and *débris* should be removed by the syringe, and there is no better method of doing this than that described by Dr. Jacobi in the discussion following Dr. Billington's able paper on "Local Treatment in Diphtheria" (*Medical Record*, April 9, 1887). A tepid but weak solution of common salt is an effective cleansing agent, after which a spray of bichloride-of-mercury solution can be used. The spray should be used warm, and to protect the nostril I often pass over the end of the spray-tubes a small piece of rubber-tubing and roll it up, so as to fit the nostril fairly well. There is no use in attempting to employ the more direct and potent applications by means of the probe in these cases. Many other agents have been used by spray and inhalation or insufflation, such as carbolic acid, lime-water, weak solutions of iron, etc. These are useful, but time forbids speaking of all.

When there is great irritation from laryngeal involvement—if the exudation is not too great—the vapor from slaking lime often gives relief.