The Digest

0

ONTARIO CASE LAW.

ABANDONMENT.

See Appeal, II.—Insurance, VI. 2—Railway, XV. 5 (2)—Trial, VII. 2—Way, IV. 1.

ABATEMENT.

See Landlord and Tenant, XXIII. 1— Necligerce, II—Nusance, I.—Practice In Equity Before The Judicature Act, I. 1—Specific Performance, V. I.

ABATEMENT OF LEGACY.

See WILL, IV. 13 (b).

ABORTION.

See CRIMINAL LAW, IX. 1.

ABSCONDING DEBTOR.

See Arrest, II. III.

ABSTRACT OF TITLE.

See Quieting Titles Act, V. 1—Registry Laws, VI. 1—Vendor and Purchaser. III. 1

ACCEPTANCE.

See Bills of Exchange—Sale of Goods, V. 2—Vendor and Purchaser, III. 3— Work and Labour, II.

ACCELERATION.

See LANDLORD AND TENANT, XXIII. 9 (a)— MORTGAGE, XII. 11 (c).

ACCESSORY.

See CRIMINAL LAW, 1.

ACCIDENT INSURANCE.

See Insurance, II.

ACCIDENT.

See Negligence-Railway, X. 1.

ACCOMPLICE.

See CRIMINAL LAW, VI. 1.

ACCORD AND SATISFACTION.

- 1. NEW AGREEMENT.
 - 1. Between the Same Parties, 2.
 - 2. By Stranger, 5.
- II. PAYMENT, 5.
- III. PROMISSORY NOTES AND BILLS OF EXCHANGE, 7.
- IV. SPECIAL PLEAS, 9.

I. NEW AGREEMENT.

1. Between the Same Parties.

Agreement not Completed.]—A plea to an action on an agreement alleged that defendant entered into a new agreement with the plaintiff, that defendant would pay a certain sum, and secure the same by his indorsed note, and that the plaintiff accepted same upon certain terms, and alleged a tender of such note by defendant, and a refusal by plaintiff:—Held, bad, on the ground that the delivery of the note was an essential part of the consideration; that the plaintiff was not bound by the agreement until he had accepted the note; and therefore he had retracted before he became bound. Sterart v. Hueson, 7 C. P.

Agreement not Completed.]—A. having taken a likeness for B. agrees to take in
payment \$20 in cash, and a cognovit for \$70
payable at a future date. After receipt of
the \$20 and tender of the cognovit, defendant
refused to deliver the picture. The plaintiff
brought replevin:—Held, that the agreement