

functions which, however necessary and useful, were not to them something distasteful to the true student, which they had not shared by him with lower types of mankind and lower forms of life.

In respect of these portraits themselves, Mr. Chancellor would be a very uncanonically candid critic who would venture to say that the good Bishop, had he been consulted in his own person about this might have demurred; he might even have said that just as the men and women of southern and southern Europe are accustomed to injure, as they think, their peace by driving pins into their pictures, so we also were doing so by wounding his peace of mind by flaunting before him in this beautiful library and the hosts of students belonging to the University which was to him a rival. But, Mr. Chancellor, almost half a century has gone by since then, and the good Bishop, the staunch soldier of the church militant, has been translated to a higher and more peaceful community, whence we would fain hope that he looks down to-day upon our proceedings with resignation, with thankfulness and benediction; that his spirit as it hovers above us is saying, not in bitterness and disappointment, 'Sic ego, non mihi, so I laboured, and my soul has entered the fruits of my labour,' but rather, 'Surely I laboured better than I knew; I hoped to leave my name and features familiar to one University, and now all that is left of my outward form and likeness, looks down upon the students of two Universities, and my name is become an honour and a common bond between them; of both I am proud and for both I am thankful. For both, each in its own way, are advancing the one cause which is worthy of sacrifice, the cause which has for its end that true religion, the Christianity common to all Canadian churches and to hundreds who value themselves outside the churches,—that true religion and learning may for ever flourish and abound.'

"It is seemly, Mr. Chancellor, that the portrait of Professor Croft should accompany that of the Bishop upon this occasion. When in the rivalries of the past it was a question on which whether the University should officially or not be permitted to honour the Bishop's memory, Professor Croft was the first raised in emphatic assertion that we should do what in him lay to pay his tribute of respect and gratitude. Professor Croft was in his own person and likeness known to his spirit."