

Court of the Appellate Division, *Palmer v. City of Toronto* (1916), 38 O.L.R. 20, 11 O.W.N. 79, was affirmed; IDINGTON, J., dissenting.

SMITH v. DARLING—Judgments were read by FITZPATRICK, C.J.C., IDINGTON and DUFF, JJ. The judgment of the First Divisional Court of the Appellate Division, *Smith v. Darling* (1916), 36 O.L.R. 587, 10 O.W.N. 161, was affirmed; IDINGTON, J., dissenting.

2nd May, 1917.

COWAN v. CITY OF TORONTO—Judgments were read by FITZPATRICK, C.J.C., DAVIES and ANGLIN, JJ. The judgment of the First Divisional Court of the Appellate Division, *Cowan v. City of Toronto*, 3rd March, 1916, not reported or noted, was affirmed.

JONES v. TOWNSHIP OF TUCKERSMITH—Judgments were read by IDINGTON and ANGLIN, JJ. The judgments of the First Divisional Court of the Appellate Division, *Jones v. Township of Tuckersmith, Re Jones and Township of Tuckersmith* (1915), 33 O.L.R. 634, 8 O.W.N. 344, was reversed.

TORONTO, CITY OF, v. BROWN & Co.—Judgments were read by DAVIES, IDINGTON, DUFF, and ANGLIN, JJ.—The four Judges composing the Second Divisional Court of the Appellate Division, *Re J. F. Brown Co. Limited and City of Toronto* (1916), 36 O.L.R. 189, 10 O.W.N. 19, upon appeal from an award, were divided in opinion, with the result that the award was affirmed. A majority of the Judges of the Supreme Court of Canada were of the opinion that the award should not be interfered with; DAVIES, J., dissented.

TORONTO, CITY OF, v. MURCH—Judgments were read by FITZPATRICK, C.J.C., IDINGTON and ANGLIN, JJ. The judgment of the Second Divisional Court of the Appellate Division, *Murch v. City of Toronto* (1916), 10 O.W.N. 141, was affirmed.