$\mathbf{T} = \mathbf{R} + \mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{r}}$

RETIREMENT.

By Dr. BEATTIE.

The lingering light decay, And Hesper on the front of heaven His glittering gem displays; Deep in the filent vale, unfeen, Beside a lulling stream, * A pentive youth of placid mein, Indulg'd his tender theme:

Ye cliffs, in hoary grandour piled High o'er the glimmering dale; Ye woods, along whose windings wild Murmurs the folence gale; Where Melancholy strays forlorn; And Woe retires to weep, What time the wan moon's yellow horn Gleams on the wellern deep:

111.

To you, ye wastes, whose arties charms Ne er drew Ambition's eye, Scaped a tumultuous world's alarms, To your retreats I fiy, Deep, in your most sequester'd bower Let me at last recline, Where Solitude, mild, modest power, Leans on her ivy'd fhrine.

How shall I woo thee, matchless fair! Thy heavenly fmile how win? Thy smile that smooth the brow of Care, And stills the storm within. O wilt then to thy favourite grove Thine ardent votary bring, And blefs his hours, and bid them move. Screne, on filent wing !

Oft let remembrance footh his mind With dieams of former days, When in the lap of Peace reclin'd He framed his infant lays; When Fancy you'd at large, nor Care Nor cold Difficult alarm'd, Nor navy with malignant glare His simple youth had harm'd.

VI.

'Twas then, O Solitude! to thee His early vows were paid, From heart fincere, and warm, and free, Devoted to the shade. Ah why did Fate his sleps decoy In ftormy paths to roam, kemote from all congenial joy 1-O take the wanderer home.

Thy shades, thy silence, now be mine, Thy charms my only theme;

I shall make two remarks on this attempt to injure Christianity. One is, that fo far as the author exposes Intolerance or Bigotry, Persecution or Hypocrify, Christianity is obliged to him; for the Guspel utterly disclaims them—light and darkness are not more opposite to each other, than they are to its mild, pure and gentle spirit; and if any of.

be Christians.

The other remark is-that Christianity will derive advantage from this, as it has from every fimilar attack. Mr. Gibben's mifrepresentations have not only been detected; but much light has been thrown on various passages of Ecclesiastical History, and other subjects connected with Revelation; which will serve to confirm the rational This has been done by Dr. Chelsum in his Remarks; by Mr. Christian in his faith. Davis in his Examination and Reply; by Sir David Dathymple in his Inquiry; by Billiop Watson in his Apology for Ch-istianity; and by Mr. Teavis in his htters to Edward Gibbon, Esq.—all written in answer to the XVth and XVIth Chapters of Mr. Gibbon's Several judicious firictures on that History are also contained in An Inquiry into the Belief of the Christians of the first Three Centuries, respecting the one Godhead of the Father Son and Holy Gooff; by W. Burgh, Elq-a book which does honour to the Author's head and heart; and for which the Christian Church is much obliged to him. Among many points that have been elucidated in the course of this controversy, Mr. TRANTS has very fatisfactorily proved the genuineness of that text, I John V.7. of which fome learned men had entertained doubts; and has refuted every objection to its authenticity. See the 2d Edit. of his Letters, 8vo. 1785. Others, whose writings I have not feen have called Mr. Gibnon to an account for the centurable passages in his History. The above are mentioned merely to satisfy some persons on this side of the Atlantic, that Mr. Gibbon has been ably answered; and who, not knowing it, seemed to think, that, because he received no answer, he was unanswerable.

its professors are influenced by them, they so far depart from the Gospel, and cease to