particular subject. Laboratories have to be erected and equipped, and on a scale that makes them a distinct feature of the modern world. Those that we see now here are models of their kind; wise foresight has planned them; public-spirited enterprise has constructed them. Nor does the achievement end with their erection. The laboratories and their equipment are but the factory and the plant; both fail in their purpose if they halt for sustenance. And beyond that the likeness does not go. The factory, once started, if it be wanted, can expect to pay, to support itself. Not so the laboratory. The laboratory is both a school of instruction and a school of thought. Well, no higher instruction can be expected unaided to pay the expenses it involves; it can only do so at the expense of those who come to learn, and that is to put its teaching beyond the reach of all but the wealthier few. And the instruction is costly, for it has to be practical. And another source of expense is that the laboratory has not only to distribute knowledge, but to manufacture it. The duties of a university do not begin and end with the disciplinary and didactic. Besides schools of instruction, they must be schools of thought. To be this latter, the laboratory raust pursue research. Even for the welfare of the class-teaching this is essential. Instructive lectures may be given by men of ability, the whole of whose knowledge is second-hand, but it is doubtful whether the real life of science can be fully felt and communicated by one who has not himself learnt by direct inquiry from nature. Nothing more augments the teacher's power of impressive and incisive teaching of a s bjeet than to have faced problems in it Limself as an original enquirer. And atter rudiments have been once fairly acquired, there is for good students no training equal to that given by following even a small research under an experienced leader.

So truly does the laboratory become a school of thought. Your laboratories are arranged with admirable provisions for research. The student should enter on his study of a natural science through the portal of its fundamental experiments. The attitude his mind thus takes is the true one—the only true one—for further insight into the subject. Too often humanistic studies at school have tended to kill the natural philosopher within him—that innate curiosity for facts, the healthy heritage of childhood. He leaves school a little book-man. Even as to the phenomena of nature, he has been insensibly led to ask for statements upon authority, rather than to turn his own senses and observation to the phenomena themselves. To learn a science or acquire an art resting upon sciences, the first thing to do is to look at the fundamental facts for yourself. Our great teachers of medicine teach upon this plan. They teach where they learned, not in the library, but from the bedside of the