SPECIAL ARTICLES

Our Contributors

BOOK REVIEWS

JEWISH MISSIONS IN CANADA.

The Earlier Years of the Montreal

Mission.

A Sketch by the Rev. J. McCarter.

The last issued report of the "London Society for promoting Ciristinety among the Jews" (1993-04) devotes two nares to its Montreal Mission, the first and as yet, the only foothold of that Schiety on the western continent. This station came into the hands of the London Jews' Society by transfer from the Presbyterian Church in 1902. Seeing that I had something to do with that event, I crave leave to offer a short statement. No adequate account of R has yet appeared in print, and indeed a full and dispassionate statement could not have been written very much sooner. As an item of Canadian history it is interesting for the light it casts on Jewish missions, and I still owe a statement to those warm friends of Israel who trusted me, and by their generous help enabled me to carry on an effort for the Jews for over six years. I shall try, Mr. Editor, to be brief, stating facts without comments, and that, as much as possible, in the words of others.

Presbyterians First In This Field.

The Presbyterian was the first of Protestant Churches in Canada to put forth any missionary effort for the Jews. So far back as 1848 that branch of Pretsbytetians then connected with the Stottial Establishment began to send to Scotland contributions in aid of Jewish missions, cherishing also the hope of in due time having a Jewish mission of their own. Between that date and 1902, a space of 54 years, the Presbyterian Church made four different beginnings of Jewish mission work, which all proved short-lived, and futile. At the time the third of these off-forts broke down in 1895, I was a minster in the Canadian Church in a sharpe near Mostread. Without claiming any special fitness, it had been my lot in carlier life in Scotland to be brought into considerable contact with and into a lively interest in missions to Israel, and I had made this a subject of much observation and reflexion and prayer. While regretting along with others the non-oncess of the Church, and deprecating the abandonment of the effort, I thought I could see some causes of failure in the past, and how these might be remedied.

Successful missionary work must be in union with the living members of the Church of Clarist, whether formally belonging to one organized church or otherwise; it must be supported by a body of prayerful sympathizers. Without such integral connection, aggressive efforts for Jows may be like a going to war without a base of operations, or like the whitestell idea of constructing a church edifice beginning with the top of the spire and working downwards. In the case we speak of, for one or other reason, the same result has followed four times over with slight variations. The agents, having received the generous outfit, with perhaps slender attachment to the church and slender control, after brief trial ticked over the traces, and took their own course. Each such disappointment tended naturally to dampen the general intest, in the Jews; an information to foster, even as experience has taught it to do in the case of missions to the heather.

the case of missions to the heather.

Most societies working for Jews adopt
a combination of force, a Jewish along
with a non-Jewish agency, by which a vial connection can be preserved between
the church and the mission, and Hebrew
agents employed who will serve the church
without controlling it. Your Scottish
readers have heard of John Duncan, Dan-

iel Edwards, and Robert Smith, leaders of the Jewish mission in 1841. Since the first a main part of the laborers in this field has been from Scotle.

In this light I though preself able, though not a Hebrev, to aid the church. Someone must begin a foundation, and I might at least lay a few stones on which others might build. So convinced did I become that this was right, and also that the time was rice, that I resolved to adventure an effort for the Jews, preferably with my own-church's satetion, but if otherwise, at my own risk. The Presbyterian foreign missions, as

came to know, are controlled by a contral committee appointed by the General embly, meeting steadily at Toronto, to which all the presbyteries are subordinate. For the sake of brevity, I will call histhe committee. I wrote to the commit-tee and to the Montreal presbytery, of-fering my service for the Jews. The re-sponse was not favorable. The committee acknowledged receipt of letter, and never wrote again. The presbytery appointed a sub-committee to confer with me. This sub-committee met me six months later. sub-committee met me six months later, and told me by word of mouth that the Jewish mission had been several months out of existence, that its belongings were being sold, and that I had been pronounced incompetent. The alleged ground of incompetency was want of sufficient acquain-tance with certain languages. But having rather a facility, and also some prac-tice in languages. I considered the relative importance of the objection exagger ated. I plended vainly to be allowed trial, and then told those brethren if ever the way seemed open I should be-gin work for the Jews on my own ac-count. No other agent was sought and the Jews were abandoned.

Mr. McCarter's Effort,

In the step I now took, my wife was entirely like-minded. We believed that, if God had need of us, He would see to the required equipment, as well as to the results. We felt able to trust His promise, as taught in the Master's Word about the faith by which mountains are removed, and as exemplified by many instances of Christian work. I first resigned my charge, Mille Isles, and removed into a Jewish district of Montreal. We laid on the table of service the use, if required, of every dollar we possessed—not much in all—and trusted that God would in His own way and through the hands of lovers of Israel, supply the needed balance. When told that my Presbytery did not approve of my action, I could only answer: "There are higher than Presbyteries." I was ready to be at the service of my own ready to be at the service of my own ready to be at the service of my own ready to be at the service of my own ready to be at the service of my own ready to be at the service of my own ready to honest effort done for Him would fail of results.

I would here make a passing reference to many fellow-Christians, attached to us not by denominational bonds, but by common love for the Saviour, and in Him for that Nation, who are in Him a bond of union and pledge of blessing to all the nations. There we found a sufficient tie to hold us united in prayerful fellow-working. I will not name any of the living, but feel free to mention some of the dead—Sir J. W. Dawson, Miss Barber, Mr. Colin McArthur, Mrs. McDonald, Miss Janet Dougall, Mr. W. Open Buchanan, Mr. J. A. Mathewson; withe like of such it was no small honor to have been in any way associated in the Lord's work.

For my own church, I have to say

that the largest part of the support 1 received came privately and unofficially from Presbyterians, i.e., from individuals, as well as from Sunday schools, Young People's Societies, and a few congregations; also kindly help camfrom everyone of the Protestant denominations. Contributions came from a range between Manitoba on one hand to Cape Breton on the other. One sift, an important one of a public nature the Presbyterian Church gave methe remaining unsold belongings of the previous mission. Further than that the church gave me neither financial help nor moral recognition. This want of moral recognition proved the greatest chasticle and discoursement which I felt. I blame no one, but state a fact.

Some Results.

It will be asked, and verhans regarded as the crux of the whole question—was any good accomplished? This lies for This lies for others to answer. Shortly ofter I left the field, an article appeared in "Saint Andrew,' a weekly magazine issued the Established Church of Scotland. the Established Church of Scotland. It was he de "Preshyterian Church in Canada, Jewish Viscion" and siened "I. C. Montreal. 26th Sant. 1902." This article begins thus: "The stow of our mission to the Jows is a nethetic one record of failure from first to last."

It proceeds to outline four different unsuccessful attempts, associated with the names of Ernstein, Webster, Newmark, and Trebitsch, and also refers to mans follows: "In 1805 the Pev. John McCarter a minister of the Preshvierien Church at Mille Isles Onshee resigned his charge, and devoted himself to sionary work among the Jews in Mon-treal, at his own motion, looking for encouragement and support to private individuals. For seven long years Mr. McCarter continued his self-imposed and self-denving labors with very slender tokens of visible success, but with a zeal tokens of visible success, nor and nerseverance worthy of all praise, and nerseverance worthy of all praise. He has recently. I understand, cone to Britain." It being evident that the initials "J. C." stand for James Croil. the esteemed ex-editor of the Presbyter-ian Record, his article has the appearance of a semi-official statement of the church itself or at least indicates comnet at knowledge. In the light, while I thank the writer for his kindly senti-I thank the writer for he killedy senti-ment, I venture a remark on his asser-tion about "slender visible success." Does he furnish any ground? Let it be remembered that at the time I began, no remembered that at the time I began, no Canadian church was touchine the Jews, that one main chiect to which I distinctly set myself was to foster by word and pen general interest on their behalf, and that when I purposed to leave, three strong religious societies—the Presenterian Church in Canada, the Episcobyterian Church in Canada, the Episco-pal Church in Canada, and the London Jews' Society—were equally ready to take it over, and continue it. This scar-cely suggests an absence of visible suc-cess. Or what was it that brought about a rivalry of churches and societies? What made the difference of the situa-tion in 1895 and 1902?

Mille Isles, which I vacated, had never suffered, and meanwhile an abandoned mission had been rescued, had been fostered with patience and prayer, and become a recognized, equipped, field of work. It is to-day quite evident. In a word, if anyone sees fit to call the Presbyttrian church's efforts "failure," the effort which that church neither recognized nor aided, is bearing permanent results. While I sincerely wish that