CHAMBERS.

(Reported by Carls form R Romason, Eso. Barrester at Law)

HENDERSON & MOODER

False return-Dichtration-Striking out count-Variance between corner filed and serred

Where the unit in a declaration for a files return inserted three counts, the second the chird diagna as a breach that dithough defendant inglect to levy. &c., and the chird diagna as a breach that dithough defendant did levy he felsely te the intering goods, the third count was upon the application of defendant struck out as being substantially the same as the second—thereal greening be tale to turn no mitter which way start d

Simble when the evidence is given in such acres an amendment would be allowed without dithe pity in we and more with the facts found

Held also on an application to set aside a decisivation on the ground of variance rece also on an approarton to set aside A documation on the ground of varished 4-tween the copy served and colo filed that a mere set ballerror by the emission of words which lower the scheened substance unaitored, is not a ground for act-ting aside the declaration of ropy served.

Semble however that "being in a harry" is no excuse for a variance between the copy of pleading filed and that served

This was an action brought by the plaintiff against the defendant as sheriff of the county of Hastings, for a false return.

The declaration contained three counts

First count -For that the plaintiff heretofore, to wit, on, &c., in the Court of Oneen's Bench, at Toronto, by the consideration Manion a certain debt of forty five pounds fifteen shillings and six pence, and also ten pounds eight shillings and cleven pence costs, which in and by the same court were adjudged to the plaintiff, and with his assent, for his damages, which he had sustained as well by the occasion of the detaining of the said debt as for his costs and charges by him about his suit in that behalf expended. whereof the said Michael Manion was convicted, as by the record and proceedings thereof still remaining in the same court of our said Lady the Queen at Toronto aforesaid, will more fully appear And that the said judgment being in full force, and the debt and costs remaining unpaid and unsatisfied, the plaintiff, on, &c., for the obtaining of satisfaction thereof, sued and prosecuted out of the said court aforesaid a certain writ of our Lady the Queen called a fiert facius, directed to the sheriff of the county of Haytings, by which said writ the said sheriff was commanded that of the goods and chattels of the said Michael Manion in the said sheriff's balliwick he should cause to be levied the damages aforesaid, and that he should have that money before the said justices of the said court at Toronto immediately after the execution thereof, to render to the plaintiff for his damages and costs aforesaid; and that the said sheriff should have then there that writ; which said writ afterwards, and before the delivery thereof to the said sheriff as bereafter mentioned, to wit, on, &c., was duly endorsed with a direction for the said sheriff to levy the sum of forty-five pounds fifteen shillings and six pence debt, and ten pounds eight shillings and eleven pence costs, with interest on the same from the 19th day of November, 1858, ten shillings for certificate of judgment, seventeen shillings and six pence for fi fa., besides sheriff's fees, p andages, and incidental expenses; and which said writ endorsed, afterwards, and before the execution thereof, to wit, on, &c., was delivered to the now defendant, who then, and from thence until, and at, and after the execution of the said writ, was sheriff of the said county of Hastings, to be executed in due form of law; and although there then and afterwards, and whilst the said lastmentioned writ remained in full force, were divers goods and chattels of the said Michael Manion within the bailiwick of the now defendant as such sheriff as aforesaid, whereof he could and might and ought to have levied the monies so endorsed and directed to be levied as last aforesaid, whereof the defendant all the time aforesaid had notice, yet the now defendant, so being sheriff of the said county of Hastings, not regarding the duty of his office as such injure, prejudice and aggreeve the now plaintiff in this behalf, and to deprive him of the montes so endorsed on the said last mentioned

so to do and therein made default and afterwards to wit on. &c., falsely and deceitfully returned to the said court of our said Lady the Queen, that the said debtor Michael Manion had not any goods or chattels in his bullwick, whereof he could gause to be levied the damages and costs afores.id, or any part thereof, as by the said last mentioned with and the return thereof remaining of record in the said court fully appears; by means of which said premises, &c.

Second count .- And that the judgment in the first count mentioned being in full force, and the said damages and costs in that count mentioned remaining unpild and unsatisfied, the plaintiff afterwards, to wit, on, &c., pursuant to the statute in such cases made and provided, applied for and obtained, and an order was made, upon and by virtue of said judgment in the first count mentioned, by the Hon. W. H. Diaper, C. B., Chief Justice of the Court of Common Pleas, at Toronto, date 1, &c., whereby the said Instige ordered that all gebts due and owing from one Paul Larkins, the garnishee in said order named, to one Michael Manion, the judgment debtor therein named as being the person in the first count mentioned, should be attached, to answer a judgment recovered against the above named judgment debtor, on, &c., by the now plaintiff, the judgment creditor in the Court of Queen's Bench, and being the same judgment described in the first count mentioned; and the said Justice did thereby further order that the then named Paul Larkins, the garnishee therein named, and judgment of the same Court, recovered against one Michael his attorney or agent, should attend before the said Justice, at the time and place therein mentioned, to show cause why he should not pay to the now plaintiff, the judgment creditor, the debt due from him to the judgment debtor, or so much thereof as might be sufficient to satisfy the said judgment debt due the now plaintiff the said judgment debtor. And that the said order so made as aforesaid, was duly served upon the said Paul Larkins, garnishee therein named; and that the said Paul Larkins, garmshee as aforesaid, did not appear upon the said summons and order at the time and place mentioned therein, and in pursuance thereof; and that afterwards, to wit, on, &c, upon proof of the service of the said summons and order, an order was duly made by the then presiding Judge in Chambers, at Toronto, for an execution to issue to levy the amount due from said Paul Larkins, garnishee as aforesaid, to the said Michael Manion, judgment debtor as aforesaid, towards satisfaction of the said judgment debt. And that afterwards, to wit, on, &c., the now plaintiff sued and prosecuted out of the said court, upon and by virtue of said order, a certain writ of fiers factor against the said garnishee therein named, Paul Laskins aforesaid, directed to the sheriff of the county of Hastings; by which said writ our said Lady the Queen commanded the said sheriff that of the goods and chattels of the said Paul Larkins, garnishee as aforesaid, in the sheriff's bailiwick, he should cause to be levied fifty-six pounds four shillings and five pence, being part of the amount of the debt due from the said Paul Larkins to Michael Manion attached in the hands of the sud Paul Larkins by an order of the Hon W. H. Draper aforesaid, dated, &c . pursuant to the statute in such case made and provided, to satisfy fifty-six pounds four shillings at d five pence, which Geo. E. Henderson the now plaintiff lately a the Court of Queen's Bench recovered against the said Michael Manion, whereof the said Michael Manion was convicted, as appears of record; and that the said sheriff should have that sum before the said court immediately after the execution thereof, to be rendered to the now plaintiff for his damages aforesaid; and that the said sheriff should have then there that writ; which said writ afterwards, and before the delivery thereof to the said sheriff, to wit, on, &c , was duly endorsed with a direction to the said sheriff to levy of the goods and chattels of the said Paul Larkins, the garnishee therein named. the sum of fifty-six pounds four shillings and five pence debt and costs, fifteen shillings for fi fa, with interest from the 5th day of October, 1859, besides sheriff's fees; and which said writ, so ensheriff, but contriving and wrongfully and unjustly intending to dorsed, afterwards, and before the execution thereof, to wit, on, &c., was delivered to the now defendant, who then and from thence until, and at, and after the execution of the said writ, was writ, and directed to be levied as last aforesaid, and of the means sheriff of the said county of Hastings, to be executed in due form of obtaining the same, did not nor would, within a reasonable time of law; and although then and afterwards, and whilst the said last for that purpose which both long since clapsed, levy the money mentioned writ remained in full force, there were divers goods and last aforesaid, or any part thereof, but wholly in glected and refused chattels of the said Paul Larkins within the bailiwick of the now