If it should be said, the passage only proves, that the river St. Lawrence was the northern boundary of Acadia, when he wrote, but not that it was the ancient or most ancient boundary: we say that is begging the question, and will be of no avail, unless they can shew, from express authority, that before his time it had a different boundary.

But this cannot be done from the authority of any contemporary voyager to the same parts: for neither the author of De Mont's voyage, nor Lescarbot, ascertain the bounds of Acadia. The reason is, because they do not enter into a geographical description of it, and only speak of it's limits occasionally; which is the case indeed with Champlain himself: for altho' he mentions the northern bounds of Acadia, he does not tell us precisely what the western were; we can only gather by inference in general, that it was bounded on that side by the province of Norimbegua, from the circumstance of the river St. Lawrence washing the borders of that province as well as those of Acadia.

However, the defect here may be supplied from the authority of Count D'Estrades, who in his conferences with King Charles II. relating to the bounds of this country alledged, "That in consequence of the treaty of St. Germain, in 1632, restitution was made to France [of all the country] from Quebek to the River of Noremberg [or Penobscot] where Pentagoët is built, which, says he, is the first place of Acadia*".

^{*} See his letter of March 13, 1662, to the king, in his Ambass. et Negotiat. tom. ii. p. 368.