

for other purposes by the instruction of the Dean of the Faculty of Medicine, who controls the building.

There seems to be a case here for friendly accommodation in which Museum interests should not be sacrificed.

9. The Rutherford Collection: The material included in this Collection, intimately related as it is to one of the key discoveries in science, will increase in historical importance and interest as the years go by, and should be properly and permanently housed in the Physics Department, for the inspiration and instruction of successive generations of students. A provisional scheme for this, prepared by Dr. Eve, seems to me very suitable, as it allows for additions of similar character which may from time to time be acquired by the Department.

10. The Geological Collections: The artificial lighting of the room in which this collection is placed needs improvement; the room does not receive adequate daylight. The collection is cramped, and more room is needed. It can properly be classed as a Departmental (teaching) Museum.

11. The Botanical Collection: There is no one at present working on, or interested in, the Herbarium material. The collection as a whole cannot, I think, properly be described as a Museum, even in embryo; Professor Lloyd informs me that he has no present intention of developing it.

12. The Architectural Collection: This teaching collection contains many interesting, important and unusual pieces. It is unsuitably and inadequately housed. It forms the nucleus of what might, under favourable conditions, become an important Architectural Museum. Such a Museum is needed in Montreal. In any case it ought, in the service of the School of Architecture to be extended and the gaps filled up.