

this experience with pilocarpine that his confreres might be induced to try it. He would like to know if it would have a similar effect on other syphilitic lesions.

Dr. REEVE said that from analogy he would suppose pilocarpine would be useful in other specific conditions. Its value was well known in otitis interna.

Dr. ATHERTON asked if the pilocarpine alone would not have effected a cure.

Dr. BURNHAN replied that he did not know, but that he would try it in the next suitable case he had.

(FEBRUARY 14TH, 1895.)

President, DR. PETERS, in the chair.

"Some Points in Connection with the Eruption in a Case of Variola, with Some Remarks on the Pathology," was the title of a paper read by Dr. J. N. E. Brown. Patches of skir (with photographs) were shown which had been removed *en masse* from the patient, with the pocks *in situ*.

Sarcoma of the Kidney.—Dr. PETERS presented a large sarcoma of the kidney he had removed from a child. The tumor had been noticed for some four months, and was accompanied by hæmaturia. The position it occupied was explained, and the diagnosis from splenic tumor was pointed out; also its relation to the colon. The steps in the operation were given. The doctor stated that, in closing the abdomen, he had followed the practice of merely using the first part of a surgeon's knot in tying the silk-worm gut. The child, being exceedingly restless, these gave way, allowing the intestines to extrude. To add to this misfortune the child contracted diphtheria. Notwithstanding these reverses the patient was improving.

Dr. PRIMROSE pointed out a similarity in this tumor with one existing in a child he had made frozen sections of, viz., the smallness of the blood vessels and the pedicle.

Dr. WILLIAMS asked if there was hypertrophy of the other kidney. He gave the history of a similar case in which the *post-mortem* showed the other kidney to be hypertrophied. In that case there was no hæmaturia. A marked feature was the great emaciation. The tumor was soft, so that fluctuation could be made out. There were no enlarged glands. He asked if there was not likelihood of recurrence in these cases.

Dr. OLDRIGHT, in referring to the diphtheritic attack in Dr. Peters'