Is it not a good time to stop and view ourselves from the stand-point of the lay public—a public that in the main is intelligent, progressive and full of common sense?

However much we may deplore the fact that surgery is necessary, that it may be an opprobrium—which I doubt very much—we must be willing to admit that, given ideal conditions, enormous temporary and permanent benefit can in numerous instances be vouchsafed by operations, and by operations alone. Surgery has its own field. It readily yields to other and simpler therapeutic measures when satisfied that it itself is without avail. At the same time it is keenly alert to invade the vast field of internal medicine when the latter fails to accomplish the ideal; ready to retire at once if some new discovery demonstrates that disease can be conquered by means other than surgical. What surgeon is there who would not gladly throw down the knife if a serum or any simple remedy were discovered that would definitely cure malignant disease? Some such remedy is bound to come in the course of time; slowly, it may be, but none the less surely. In the meantime innumerable types of disease are safely and happily treated by the mechanics of surgery, and it ill becomes us as surgeons to belittle the aid that we can give, for the mere reason that at some future time surgery may become obsolete.

Every year I am told that we have attained the highest limit in technique. This is far from the truth, because hardly a week passes without a surgeon somewhere in the world demonstrating a discovery or reviving some long-forgotten fact that reduces mortality, shortens convalescence, or aids in the restoration of normal functions.

It should be made clear at the outset that the public must expect of surgeons not absolute efficiency, but a reasonable degree of it. Such a degree can be acquired by any surgeon who has aptitude, a love for constant self-improvement, and a readiness to make sacrifices to his ideals. Of this type there are many in your country as well as mine. The masters of surgery, on the other hand, are few in number. It is to them that we of the rank and file must look for the instruction and inspiration which should constitute a large and by no means unimportant part of their work. It is only a relatively small proportion of the people that can have the direct benefit of their skill. To their teachings the medical as well as the surgical practitioner must listen, and in the light of the accomplishments of the advanced surgical clinics of the world it is not an exaggeration to assert that diagnoses, especially of abdominal and cerebral diseases, are more accurately made by the surgeon or by his medical confrère who follows his own cases to the operating table than by the internist who limits his observations to laboratory, personal