

3. It is a historical fact, that the Kimmerians were a powerful nation in the southern part of Russia seven hundred years before Christ. This being the case, they must have settled in that region hundreds of years before that date. It is reasonable to suppose that numerous bands of them had wandered towards the west. What became of these emigrants? Where are we to look for them except among the Kelts?

4. There are some faint indications that the Kimmerians spoke the Keltic language. The Skythians would never think of changing all the names of places. They would not act like the people of Canada and the United States, who try to banish the euphonious Indian names and substitute in their place names borrowed from all parts of Europe, and even from Egypt and Palestine. Pliny tells us that the Skythians called the Sea of Azof *Temerinda*, by which they meant "Mother of the Sea." Now, *Temerinda* may be a Skythian word, but it is quite possible that it is a Kimmerian word. When we lop off *inda*, which is simply a termination, we have *temer*. But what is *temer*? It seems to be *te mara* (Gaelic words), which mean "Woman of the Sea." In the river names, *Is-ter*, *Tyr-as* and *Tana-is*, the *is* and *as* bear a close resemblance to the Gaelic word *uisge* (water).

5. The Kimbri were in all probability Kimmerians. But the Kimbri were undoubtedly Kelts. That they were Kelts were infer from the following facts: (a) Diodorus Siculus, Cicero, Sallust and Appian speak of them as Gauls or Kelts. It is, of course, true that Cæsar, Paterculus and Tacitus represent them as Germans. That they came from Germany no one will deny. It does not follow, however, that they were Germans by blood. (b) The Kimbri had all the physical characteristics of the Kelts; they had also their fickleness and rash impetuosity; and besides, they were armed after the Keltic manner. (c) Boiorix, the name of the chieftain of the Kimbri, is a Keltic word. It is certain, then, that Boiorix was a Kelt. But if the leader of the Kimbri was a Kelt, must we not conclude that the Kimbri themselves were Kelts?