Engineering—continued.

CONSTRUCTION-continued.

```
CADDY, J. S.
      engineer in charge of contracts Nos. 25 and 41 since May, 1879, 642.
           staff: three division engineers and fourteen assistants, 643.
            goes over the line every month, 613.
           trains now run 150 miles, 643.
on contract No. 25, road-bed not completed when he took
                charge, 649
great deal of muskeg, 649.
                not now up to full width of road-bed or to grade, 650.
            on contract No. 41, when he took charge, work staked out,
                       centre-lined, cross-sectioned and bench-marked, 650.
                contractors not delayed, 651.
                character of country changeable, 651.
quantities much reduced and line shortened since letting
                        contract, 651.
                    saving from $400,000 to $500,000, 652.
                reflections on previous location, 652.
                    about one-third rock and muskeg, 653.
                fourteen hundred men employed 653.
                character of work satisfactory, 653.
                disputes with contractors, 654.
ROWAN, J. H.
      from Rat Portage to Fort Pelly, 689.
            appointed engineer in charge in June, 1875, 689.
            had partial supervision of telegraph construction, 690.
                telegraph located on preliminary survey, 690.
      plans and trial locations of section 14 sent to Ottawa, 1874-75, 693.
            approximate profile and quantities made, 693.
            about two-fifteenths of section required cross-sectioning, 694.
            muskegs: depth not known, 695.
                deviations caused work to be largely in excess of estimates,
                        695.
                Julius Muskeg, 698.
                    contractor no ground for claim, 699.
                    nineteen feet deep instead of three or four as estimated,
                        701.
                no boring tools used, 701.
                muskeg material makes good road-bed, 701.
      contractor on contract No. 15 not justified in complaining that infor-
                 mation was withheld, 715.
            witness ordered from Ottawa what to communicate and what
                 not, 715.
          plenty of earth discovered, 716.
            some truth in Whitehead's statement as to trestles being imprac-
                   ticable, 716.
                change to embankment advantageous, 716.
                     reasons for statement, 716.
JENNINGS, W. T.
      in charge of section 42, May, 1879, 759.
            had detailed data as to quantities, 759.
            contractors not delayed, 759.
            changes: grade improved, 760.
                rock cuttings reduced, 760.
                earth reduced, 760.
                all except one approved by Schreiber, 761.
                iron pipe culverts dispensed with, 761.
                bridge masonry reduced 50 per cent., 762.
Manning's estimate an exaggeration, 762.
                piling increased, but timber in trestles not much in excess.
                section will cost $1,500,000 less than estimate, 765.
                     one third being due to trestle work, 765.
                exhaustive borings made, 766
                Manning wrong in placing some borings at 200 feet, 767.
                generally as to improvements of location, 767.
JARVIS, E. W.
      presents report of inspection of contracts Nos. 14 and 15, made at
           request of Commissioners, 772.
SMITH, M.
```

examined contract No. 13 and part of contract No. 25 in 1877, 1588.

contract No. 13 nearly complete, 1589.