

Mr. Moodie held that the evidence showed very different.

Dr. King had been delighted with the first part of the paper. He thought there was a strong desire shown for an amicable settlement, but three or four words had spoilt the whole thing. They promised to be obedient "in the future as in the past." It had been shown that their conduct towards the Session had not been obedient in the past. Was the measure of obedience in the future to be the same as in the past?

Mr. J. D. Cranston claimed that they had been obedient in the past. On one occasion he arose in the prayer meeting and asked leave to read a scriptural lesson. The leader asked him his object in reading the verses. He promised not to make one word of comment if allowed to read the verses, that the object was not for controversy, but was refused, and he immediately resumed his seat.

Mr. Moodie again pressed his question. Did they claim the right to promulgate their peculiar views while members of the Presbyterian Church?

Mr. J. D. Cranston claimed the privilege of preaching the truth, either to an individual or to a body of people, as God had revealed it to him, but if at any time he was told that that was not in accord with Presbyterian teaching he would take his seat.

Mr. J. K. Cranston was quite willing not to teach any dogmatic views concerning "inbeing sin," as he did not consider they were essential. The one thing they desired to teach was the necessity of receiving the promise of the Father the Comforter and walking in the Spirit, then the sin question would settle itself.

There being no further questions to ask, the Moderator enquired if the paper would be considered one of the documents of the case, when it was decided not to so consider it.

Rev. Dr. Cochrane then moved the following resolution, which was seconded by Mr. Ball:—"Dismiss the appeal, and sustain the decision of the Synod and other courts, by which the appellants are suspended from Church privileges in terms of the judgment of the primary court. But in view of the fact that the statements and answers given by the appellants on the floor of the Assembly differ, in the judgment of many, in several important points, from the categorical answers contained in the printed minutes, and which were given to the Session before suspension; and further, in view of the earnestly expressed desire on the part of the appellants to continue to enjoy the privileges of membership in the Presbyterian Church, and that their conciliatory attitude before the courts gives hopes that they may now reconsider their position, and desist in future from propagating their peculiar views, the Assembly appoint the following assessors, to act with the Kirk Session of Knox Church, Galt, to meet with and deal further with appellants, should they so desire, with a view to their restoration to the fellowship of the Church."

In speaking to his resolution Dr. Cochrane said that he would