railing; what name, gentlemen, fhall we beftow on their author? Or what fhallbe faid in reply to them? No more, I think, than what Beralde, in the Malade Imaginaire of Moliere, anfwers to the apothecary. Allez, Monfieur; on voit bien que vous n'avez pas accoutumé de parler à des vifages. Here however they follow, in his own words ftill:

"Were not your fovereign's rights and " your own privileges shamefully given " up? Were not the lands on the Ohio " confessed to belong to France? Were not " the French justified in imprisoning your " fellow-fubjects, and confifcating their " effects, by this tame behaviour of the " British minister ?" He refumes the fame fubject, page 8, and afferts, " That the " minister's timidly besceching as a favour, " what he had a right to demand as juf-" tice from the French, has given that " nation a better foundation to the claim " of the Ohio." In about fifteen lines lower, he afferts again, " That the timi-" dity of the minister gave the French no " foundation at all." But he has not yet done with this favourite topic. He goes on to fay, " If it be alked, whence it " comes