

Justice, then by all means do so. That would be the appropriate route.

If the member is asking me to make a commitment that we will have a royal commission, all I can say is that I want to see first the Department of Justice review. Let us see what the recommendations are from the attorney general.

With respect to the attorney general for Ontario, provincial attorneys general want this bill passed and they want it passed by July 23. As far as an independent inquiry is concerned, I say to the hon. member, please go before the Standing Committee on Justice and Solicitor General. That is his right as a member of Parliament to make that recommendation.

We heard that the hon. member for Moncton. I know my colleagues on the government side are interested in all aspects of the justice system and all attempts to create a fair justice system for everyone in Canada. I would suggest that is the route.

At this point, I do not see why it would be a good idea for us to stop the internal review being undertaken by the Department of Justice. I would suggest that we let that proceed and find out what the attorney general of Ontario or anyone else in this country has to say about it. Let us hear what the Standing Committee on Justice and Solicitor General has to say on it and then we can formulate an action plan at that particular time.

This is very important. Time is running out in Parliament and I hope that hon. members will not proceed with this. It would suspend the application of this bill to an indefinite period of time. I hope that we will get on with it and pass this bill.

The Acting Speaker (Mr. Paproski): Is the House ready for the question?

Some hon. members: Question.

The Acting Speaker (Mr. Paproski): Is it the pleasure of the House to adopt the motion?

Some hon. members: Agreed.

Some hon. members: No.

The Acting Speaker (Mr. Paproski): All those in favour of the motion will please say yea.

Some hon. members: Yea.

Government Orders

The Acting Speaker (Mr. Paproski): All those opposed will please say nay.

Some hon. members: Nay.

The Acting Speaker (Mr. Paproski): In my opinion the nays have it. I declare the motion lost.

Motion negated.

Mr. Nicholson: Mr. Speaker, in view of the importance to all members of this House, the judiciary, the bar, and all those involved in jury trials in this country, I would seek the unanimous consent of this House to proceed with third reading debate.

Mr. Waddell: Mr. Speaker, I asked the parliamentary secretary if he would undertake to continue this study that is going on, not just internally at justice department. I asked for an independent report so we would actually see it in the House and it would be tabled. He refused to do that so reluctantly I will not give my consent.

Hon. Gilles Loiselle (for the Minister of Justice) moved that the bill be concurred in.

Motion agreed to.

The Acting Speaker (Mr. Paproski): When shall the bill be read a third time?

Some hon. members: At the next sitting of the House.

* * *

• (1050)

CUSTOMS ACT

MEASURE TO AMEND

The House proceeded to the consideration of Bill C-74, an act to amend the Customs Act, the Customs Tariff and the Excise Tax Act, as reported (with amendment) by the Standing Committee on Finance.

SPEAKER'S RULING

The Acting Speaker (Mr. Paproski): I have a ruling on Bill C-74, an act to amend the Customs Act, the Customs Tariff and the Excise Tax Act.

There are three motions in amendment on the *Notice Paper* at the report stage for Bill C-74, an act to amend the Customs Act, the Customs Tariff and the Excise Tax Act.