

roduce other than a very formal half dozen words. It is hoped that that is all that may be necessary. I will be able, I trust, before the house rises this evening to let the hon. gentleman know.

PRIVILEGE—MR. MULLINS

On the orders of the day:

Mr. H. A. MULLINS (Marquette): Mr. Speaker, I rise to a question of privilege. The Toronto Globe of Wednesday, June 27, carries the following headline: "Nickle exposes Cook's Tour prison visit," and my name appears throughout the article. I wish to say that I was not conducted on a Cook's tour. I had my perfect freedom inside the walls of the penitentiary. Further I want to say the article suggests that I am related to General Ormond. I deny that I am in any way related to him, and I wish to make that denial on the floor of this house. The statement I made on the floor of the house when on a former occasion the hon. member for Winnipeg North Centre (Mr. Woodsworth) was speaking, in regard to dishes which were used, was and is absolutely correct.

MARKETING ACT

ORGANIZATION TO IMPROVE METHODS AND PRACTICES IN MARKETING NATURAL PRODUCTS—SENATE AMENDMENTS

Right Hon. R. B. BENNETT (Prime Minister): Mr. Speaker, perhaps with the consent of the house we might take up the Senate amendments to numbers 7 and 8 on the order paper, so as to expedite that business before proceeding with the Bank of Canada Act.

Mr. MACKENZIE KING: Does the right hon. gentleman mean Bills Nos. 92 and 93?

Mr. BENNETT: No, Bills Nos. 51 and 89. Bill No. 51 is the Marketing Act. The Senate took out the word "wheat" and we are declining to accept it. Then, they made some changes in Bill No. 89, to amend and consolidate the Excise Act, which I believe do not completely express the intention. We propose to send that back also. Will that be acceptable?

Mr. MACKENZIE KING: All right.

Mr. MOTHERWELL: What is the idea of removing wheat? I did not think it was going to be operative anyhow, but what harm was it going to do?

Mr. BENNETT: We are sending it back to the Senate to put wheat in.

Mr. MOTHERWELL: I beg pardon.

[Mr. Bennett.]

Mr. WOODSWORTH: Mr. Speaker, I am very sorry but we could not hear whether the proposal of the government was to retain wheat or not.

Mr. BENNETT: Yes, we are differing with the Senate and are asking that the bill be sent back to them.

Mr. MACKENZIE (Vancouver): Another election.

An hon. MEMBER: Dissolution again.

Mr. BENNETT: I am not unmindful of the fact that it was a reformed Senate.

Mr. MACKENZIE (Vancouver): We will see.

Hon. ROBERT WEIR (Minister of Agriculture) moved:

That a message be sent to the Senate to acquaint Their Honours that this house disagrees with their first and second amendments to the Bill, No. 51, an act to improve the methods and practices of marketing of natural products in Canada and in export trade, and to make further provision in connection therewith, for the following reasons:—

"Inasmuch as wheat producers should not be treated in a different way from other producers of farm products;

Also, the Natural Products Marketing Act does not conflict with the Canada Grain Act in the case of wheat, nor in the case of coarse grains which are included in the act, and to which no exception has been taken."

And that the clerk of the house do carry the said message to the Senate.

Mr. SPEAKER: Order No. 7 has not yet been called and therefore this motion presented by the Minister of Agriculture is premature.

Mr. BENNETT: He is making the motion now.

NON-CONCURRENCE IN SENATE AMENDMENTS

The house proceeded to consider amendments made by the Senate to Bill No. 51, an act to improve the methods and practices of marketing natural products in Canada and in export trade, and to make further provision in connection therewith.

Mr. WEIR (Melfort): I now move the motion which is in your hands, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. POULIOT: Just before the motion carries may I say how thankful I am to the other chamber for the opportunity which it is now giving to the hon. Minister of Agriculture to answer my question about the decrease in the home consumption of farm products.

Mr. MACKENZIE (Vancouver): I desire to support the motion of the minister. While not abating in one single particular the ob-