

19. Mar. 13. Attending at Master's office and arranging for case to proceed on Friday 23rd inst. \$1.00

Allowed by taxing officer at 50 cents, and not further objected to. I insert it to explain the following, which is objected to as "not taxable"—"Attended by client and advising 50c."

See tariff item 106, and in lieu of a letter.

20. Discretion and depending upon facts.

21. Attending to leave authorities with Master . . . 50c.

Tariff item 106. It is argued that this is included in the counsel fee, but I think not. It is not usual for counsel to perform this service, and the office boy must be made to earn his salary.

22. Received letter from Mr. Hislop with agreement and affidavit filed with Master, perusing and considering . . \$2.

Allowed at \$1, and properly so by tariff item 89. It was argued by Mr. Hislop that it was not much of an affidavit, and did not need perusal. I cannot think, however, that any solicitor would be justified, upon receiving an affidavit by and from the solicitor on the opposite side, in saying, "Oh, well, this is another of that man's affidavits; I shall just toss it into the waste paper basket." It might indeed turn out that that might be the proper destination for it, but it would scarcely be considered safe for any solicitor to take that for granted.

23. Letter to Master with objection to reception of evidence in affidavit filed by plaintiff . . . \$1.00.

Allowed by taxing officer at 50 cents.

Letter to Mr. Hislop with copy of letter to Master . . . \$1.00.

Allowed by taxing officer at 50 cents.

Said to be useless and unnecessary. I do not so find.

24. Perusing accounts, considering and taking instructions for supplementary accounts . . . \$5.00.

Allowed by taxing officer at \$2. Covered by item 38.

25. Perusing accounts and finally settled and preparing new mortgage account . . . \$5.00.

Allowed by taxing officer at \$1—not too much, I think.

26. No counsel fees, it is argued, should have been allowed on the reference, as it is said no important point or matter was involved. I am unable to agree. I think that