

higher than those at a distance from it, and therefore that fig. 2 would also represent the *transverse section of slow rivers generally*. The similarity of the physical features presented by the lower parts of all rivers was particularly remarked by Hutton.\*

It has been observed by engineers,† that in all rivers in this country the large quantities of silt brought into them by winter freshets do not tend to choke the channels, but that, at that period of the year, former accumulations of deposit are actually removed by the force of the stream; and therefore, that although winter-freshets bring down silt with them, they carry into the sea a larger quantity than they have introduced into river channels.‡ If it were allowable to assume that the unequal supply of water at different seasons of the year produces effects in the channel of the Mississippi similar to those just described on our own streams, the following consequences might be deduced from the fact that winter freshets remove more detritus than they bring down. The diminution of the speed of the current of rivers assists the deposition of silt upon their beds, as much as its increased speed in the winter season favors its removal. The summer deposit, however thin it may be, cannot occur without contracting the sizes of the channel.

Winter freshets following a sudden fall of rain would raise the water-level of rivers rapidly, and carry it above the banks before the augmented current has time to scour the river-channel and raise it to its former capacity. Accumulations of silt, small at any one place, must each raise the water a little above its proper level, and the point of overflow will be where the sum of the small elevations amounts to more than the height of the banks, above last year's level, but floods leave a deposit of silt, &c., upon the banks they pass over, which increases the capacity of the channel; and until new deposits has again reduced the area of the stream below its proper size, inundation will not occur.

As each flood raises only the part of the bank it flows over, it is easy to see that the point of overflow will be changed from time to time; and every part of the alluvial plains through which a river flows will be visited in turn by floods, provided there are no artificial banks. These banks assist the scouring power of rivers in winter, because they retain more water in the river; but on the other hand, silt that would have been carried over the banks is kept within the channel, and this may be the reason why the beds of all navigable rivers have become so much elevated during the historical period. The contraction of water-channels in summer, and their enlargement in winter, is thus directly traced to the unequal supply of rain at different periods of the year.

This being admitted, we have an explanation of the manner in which rivers may, by a succession of floods, build upon alluvial deposits along their courses, at the same time raising their beds in proportion to the height of their plains.

If river-channels were perfectly symmetrical in form, the identical sediment that had fallen in summer might be removed again in winter. It is, however, well known that river-channels

are deep on one side and shallow on the other. The principal deposit therefore takes place on the shallow or quiet side, and the principal removal occurs from the deep side where the current runs more quickly.

This may explain why the traveller on the Mississippi sees for hundreds of miles a caving bank on one side and an advancing sandbar on the other (Lyell). When the action of the river is also unequal on its two banks in different places along its course, a channel consisting of curves instead of straight lines must be produced. When each curve, however, had assumed the complete horse-shoe form, the water, by travelling round the outer circumference of the bend, will have its effective speed reduced to that on the inner or shallow side. The current would thus become more nearly equal in all parts of the channel, and necessarily the deposit likewise; and in winter it would have a nearly equal tendency to excavate the banks on both sides, which condition of equilibrium might last for some time.

Hutton, in 1795, has remarked, that there is evidence of denudation in every country where at any time of the year the streams carry off any particles of the superficial soil.\* The Mississippi must derive its vast supplies of mud for thousands of such tributaries; for it could obtain them from no other source, unless we suppose it abstracts them from its own plains. Certainly in many places soil is being removed from one part or other of its plains; but an equal quantity must be added to some other part, for the river could not make a permanent inroad into its plains without enlarging its channel. This it does not do, or it would be able to carry off the winter-freshets without overflowing, and the present artificial bank would be unnecessary.

I have thus briefly referred to observations made by British engineers which may throw some light on the causes of periodical floods and changes of channel in rivers, and also upon the formation of alluvial plains along their course. These questions need not further be entered into, because the limited growth of alluvial plains and deltas may be best illustrated by tracing the alteration in the mean level of a large part of North America that would be consequent upon a denudation sufficiently extensive to furnish the alluvium said to exist in the valley of the Mississippi. On the borders of the Gulf of Mexico at the present time marine strata are forming within a short distance of the fluvialite, and frequently alternate with them, because spaces of the sea-shore are enclosed by banks of river-mud and converted into lakes ordinarily communicating with the river, but sometimes with the sea after high tides.

The present marine or fluvio-marine deposits must be composed of mud that has passed the mouth of the river, or washed up by the sea, while the freshwater strata must be entirely formed from sand and mud carried over the river banks, or deposited on the bottom of lakes supplied by the stream before it enters the Gulf of Mexico. An idea of the amount of denudation that has taken place in the interior of North America might be either obtained from the extent of the marine deposits formed of mud that had passed the mouth of the river, or from that of the purely fluvialite and contemporaneous deposits formed from mud which had never entered the Gulf of Mexico.

\* Theory of the Earth, vol. ii, p. 205-211

† On this and the following points see First Report of the Tidal Harbors' commission, above referred to, which contains the opinions of our most celebrated engineers on the phenomenon presented by Tidal and other rivers.

‡ The author has not met with any explanation of the causes that produce changes in river-channels, although the constant alterations taking place in them have been repeatedly alluded to.

\* Our clearest streams run muddy in a flood. The great causes, therefore, for the degradation of mountains never stop as long as there is water to run; although, as the heights of mountains diminish, the progress of their diminution may be more and more retarded. *Op. cit.* vol. ii, p. 250.