

INSOLVENT NOTICES, ETC.*Quebec Official Gazette, Oct. 2.**Judicial Abandonments.*

James Bailey, merchant, Three Rivers, Sept. 29.
 Louis Joseph Onésime Brunelle, trader, Three Rivers, Sept. 22.
 Pascal Dauplaise, builder and contractor, St. François du Lac, Sept. 29.
 Pierre A. Labrie, trader, Montreal, Sept. 22.
 Timothy Lamb Louthood, trader, Three Rivers, Sept. 27.
 Charles Hamilton Taber, trader, Beechgrove, Sept. 28.

Curators Appointed.

Re Auguste Laberge, Rimouski.—Edouard Bégin, N. P., Quebec, curator, Sept. 23.
Re Pierre A. Labrie, Montreal.—S. C. Fatt, Montreal, curator, Sept. 28.
Re Prosper Milot, Three Rivers.—Kent & Turcotte, Montreal, curator, Sept. 29.

Dividends.

Re T. H. Malette, Montreal.—Final dividend, payable Oct. 19, J. C. Marchand, Montreal, curator.
Re A. Marchand & Co., Montreal.—Second dividend, payable Oct. 19, Kent & Turcotte, Montreal, curator.
Re J. B. G. Perrault, hardware dealer, Montreal.—Dividend, David Seath, Montreal, curator.

Separation as to property.

Dame Philomène Duquette vs. Pierre Edmond Bourdon, trader, Montreal, Sept. 15.
 Dame Josephine Lavoie vs. Godfroy Barbeau, trader, Ste. Geneviève, Sept. 10.
 Dame Rosa Maclear vs. David Burke, insurance agent, Montreal, Sept. 24.
 Dame Virginie Richard vs. Théophile Beaudoin, trader, Nicolet, Sept. 15.
 Dame Agnes Terrault vs. Jean Baptiste Gilbert Perrault, trader, Montreal, Sept. 13.

*Quebec Official Gazette, Oct. 9.**Judicial Abandonments.*

Cyprien Lemaire, trader, Ste. Madeleine, Sept. 27.
 Olivier Proulx, carriage-maker, St. Guillaume d'Upton, Oct. 5.
 L. N. Simoneau, Arthabaskaville, Oct. 1.

Curators appointed.

Re L. Nemese Bernatchez, Montmagny.—H. A. Bedard, Quebec, curator, Sept. 27.
Re A. T. Constantin & Cie., Quebec.—H. A. Bedard, Quebec, curator, Oct. 2.
Re Arthur M. Gingras, shirt manufacturer, Quebec.—A. W. Bignon, Quebec, curator, Oct. 1.

Dividends.

Re Donat Blondeau, Kamouraska.—Second and final dividend, payable Oct. 21; H. A. Bedard, Quebec, curator.
Re G. N. Brown, Arthabaska.—First dividend, payable Oct. 28; Kent & Turcotte, Montreal, curator.
Re J. W. Lamontagne & Cie., Montreal.—Final dividend, payable Oct. 28; Kent & Turcotte, Montreal, curator.

Separation as to property.

Dame Marie Angèle Ducharme vs. François Xavier Thesserault, builder, Lachine, Sept. 25.
 Dame Angélique L'Espérance vs. Hubert Morel, builder and trader, Montreal, Aug. 25.
 Dame Adéline Melançon vs. Urbain Gélinas, trader, Three Rivers, Sept. 30.
 Dame Marie Joséphine Tanguay vs. Georges Elie Amyot, merchant, Quebec, Oct. 7.

GENERAL NOTES.

SINGULAR FORM OF PERSECUTION.—A scoundrel of the first water received some part of his deserts yesterday at the Central Criminal court. Mr. Edward Rowdon, described as a barrister-at-law and as an uncertificated bankrupt, was sentenced to eighteen months' imprisonment for maliciously publishing a false and defamatory libel about the Hon. Violet Lane-Fox. The offence complained of was the last of a long series of annoyances to which Miss Lane-Fox has been subjected by the prisoner. His persecution dates from some years back, and it has been continued with scarcely an intermission since. Miss Lane-Fox has been followed about from place to place, has been addressed again and again in public, has received insulting letters from the prisoner, and has been left at rest only while the prisoner has been from time to time in jail, a welcome deliverance which she will once more enjoy for the next eighteen months at all events. The method of annoyance which Rowdon employed was to profess ardent love for Miss Lane-Fox, and take every opportunity of forcing his attentions upon her, disgusting as he well knew them to be. On one occasion he contrived to obtain an introduction to her. He came, as an uninvited guest, to a reception at Lord Salisbury's, requested his Lordship to introduce him to Miss Lane-Fox, and had his request granted as far as it lay within his involuntary entertainer's power. The impudent fellow was brought up to be introduced by the unsuspecting master of the house, but, as Miss Lane-Fox took no other notice than by at once turning her back upon him, he gained nothing by the move. The offence for which he was tried yesterday was in keeping with the rest of his proceedings. Having failed in thrusting himself upon Miss Lane-Fox, and having been already once imprisoned in the course of his persecuting attempts, he had resort to a new trick, and published a statement in the *Morning Post* that a marriage had been arranged between himself and the young lady. This was a gross insult there could be no doubt whatever. Mr. Justice Day left it to the jury to say whether it was not also a libel for which the author could be punished, clearly indicating his own opinion that it was. The jury, as we might expect, took the same view as the presiding judge, and the prisoner was duly sentenced to a punishment which he has richly deserved. The cure will probably prove effective. If it does not, it must be repeated as often as the need recurs.—*London Times.*

At the opening of the term of the Court of Queen's Bench at Sherbrooke on the 1st instant, on the entrance of Judge Brooks, the Sheriff rose and begged to inform the Court that on the instruction of the Attorney-General he had summoned no jurors. He had the honor of handing the judge a pair of white gloves as customary on such occasions. His Honor remarked that while it was generally believed that history repeats itself, it is not often that the repetitions are so frequent. This was the second consecutive term on which no jury had been summoned. It is a matter of congratulation that there should be such an absence of serious crime. He had been much impressed with the orderly state of affairs during the exhibition. Thousands of people had attended; and yet on the two occasions on which he had visited the grounds he had seen no one angrily excited or under the influence of liquor.