

us that educational position to which we are entitled. It appears that we have no reciprocity, and that our license or degree does not count in Quebec, or that of Quebec in Ontario. Something is wrong all round; but, surely, when between low fees and general advertising, we see that we are choking one another in the struggle for existence, we ought in some way to stop making dentists for a while. I do not see that we are obliged by law to do it.

Yours, etc., L. D. S.

To the Editor of the DOMINION DENTAL JOURNAL:

SIR,—Will you kindly publish one correction in a formula for local anæsthetics, to read:

R Chloral hydrate..... grs. xv.
 Sulph. atropim grs. ss.
 Carbolic acid gtts. viij.
 Cocanni hydoch..... grs. xi.
 Boracic acid, saturated sol ℥j.

M. To above add water, boiled or distilled, ℥j.

Ottawa, 27th August, 1895.

WM. A. LEGGO.

The Question Drawer.

Address all correspondence connected with this Department to DR. R. E. SPARKS, Kingston, Ont., Can. Matter for publication should be in the hands of the Editor not later than the 10th of each month, and must have the writers' names attached, not necessarily for publication, but as a guarantee of good faith.

16. Q.—We are told that after removing devitalized or putrescent pulps to sterilize canals and pump chloro per-chaoxy chloride of zinc and other creamy substance into the roots, even beyond where a drill or broach may go. If such teeth ulcerate afterwards, we are instructed to remove the fillings and treat again. How may such fillings be removed from the roots?

As to question 16, I must confess that I am in the dark as to what to say. No reference is made in the question as to what tooth is to be considered, nor with what material the tooth is filled; but, taking the question on broad grounds, would say that for all *single-rooted* teeth would recommend extraction and replanting rather than removing the fillings, if the *best* had been accomplished at first.

I have removed teeth, and found remains of a broach protruding