

A.

Yes, sir. At A 16 Canadian Infantry Training Centre, C.A., on the 21st of September, 1944, I personally checked the kit of Regimental Number M.612059, Pte. Altenhofen, John, whom I now recognize as the accused, against his M.F.C. 800 and against M.F.B. 375 and I found him to be deficient of the following articles:

	Vocabulary Rate	Less 25% CARO 3464
Clothing Division "E"		
Drawers, cotton, short, prs. 1 . . . . .	\$0.42	\$0.32
Shirts, Khaki, Cotton, 2 . . . . .	3.08	2.32
Socks, Army, prs. 1 . . . . .	0.46	0.35
Trousers, Khaki Drill, Short, prs.1. . . . .	1.31	0.98
Clothing Division "C"		
Combs, hair, 1 . . . . .	0.04	0.03
Total,		<u>\$4.00</u>

Q. Would you tell the Court the Regimental Number of the accused?  
A. M.612059, sir.

Cross-examined by the Defending Officer.

Q. Now Quartermaster, I have here some clothing. Would you say this corresponds to the clothing you have just described?

A. Yes, sir, it is all there, sir.

Re-examined by the Prosecutor.

Q. Do you know who owns that clothing?

A. No, sir.

Q. Do you know whether or not it was ever issued to the accused?

A. Yes, sir.

Q. That particular clothing?

A. No, sir.

Q. That may mean you don't know?

A. Possibly, sir.

The witness resumes his seat.

The Defending Officer submits that a Prima Facie case has not been established by the Prosecution with respect to the first charge.

The Prosecutor answers.

The Defending Officer declines to reply.

The Court disallows the submission of the Defence and its decision is announced to the accused. The Court proceeds with the trial.