

The Brunswickan

Ken QuigleyEditor
Tim MacKinnonManaging Editor
Derrick StanfordBusiness Manager
Robin GeneauNews Editor
Leith ChuAssistant News Editor
Karen MairEntertainment Editor
Jeremy EarlSports Editor
Richard HutchinsFeatures Editor
Kathi DavidsonAdvertising Manager and
Timothy C. Lethbridge Technical Co-ordinator
Tim MacKinnon Offset Editor

Advisory Board

Timothy C. Lethbridge Michael MacKinnon

Typesetters

Cindy Davis, Cindy Scott, David Morrison, Kate MacKay, Marsha Phelps, Anna Trites, Laura Smith

Ad Design

Ernest Dunphy Kevin Grant

Staff this week: Jamie Aitken, W. Grant, Ian Sutherland, Mary Scott, Colin Cadogan, Dave Morrison, Melynda Jarratt, Steve Boyko, Donna Russel, Eric T. Semple, Minister of Revenue-Customs and Excise, Jackie MacDonald, Manual Scoulas, Robin Geneau, Alan and Nusin Brown, Valerie White, Cal Johnston, Joey Kilfoil, Natalie Folster, Jim Ellis, Dan Samson, Donna Stephens and

The Brunswickan, in its 120th year, is Canada's oldest official student publication. The Brunswickan's offices are located in Room 35 of the University of New Brunswick's Student Union Building, P.O.Box 4400, College Hill, Fredericton, N.B., E3B 5A3.

The Brunswickan is printed with flair by Covered Bridge Printing, Ltd., Hartland, N.B., (506)375-4458

Subscriptions are \$20 per year. National and local advertising rates are available at (506) 453-4974. General phone 453-4983. News line 453-4973.

The Brunswickan is copyright 1985 the Brunswickan. The opinions expressed within are not necessarily those of the Brunswickan's editorial board, its staff, its publisher (The UNB Student Union), or the administration of the university.

All letters to the editor must be typed and double spaced, and signed along with phone number. Names may be witheld by request. The Brunswickan reserves the right to refuse publication of letters with libellous, sexist or racist material. Letters over 250 words may be edited for brevity.

Articles in the Brunswickan may be freely reprinted provided proper credit is given.

Opinion is a forum for Brunswickan staff members to present their own viewpoints on various topics. Their opinions are not necessarily those of the Brunswickan's editorial board, its staff, ot its publishers.

OPINION...

DO YOU SPEAK ENGLISH?

One day last week I started thinking about how a lot of people can't really speak (or write) English that well. I'm sure you've seen signs of this: spelling errors, grammatical errors, the whole shabang.

I began wondering just how many people cannot use English. The problem with actually counting this is that one must define what English actually is. This is difficult; the language has changed quite a bit in the past few hundred years (look at uncut Shakespearean and see if you can read it easily). As well (just to confuse matters), there are dialects of English. Americans speak a different dialect of English than we Canadians do; I begin to think that only people with some other native language speak the "correct" English.

I finally decided that the Competence in English test, held every now and then, would be a fair measure of literacy (at least for university students). After a bit of phoning about, the Associate Registrar kindly gave me some

figures that took me somewhat aback.

The test held in October 1985 was written by 550 people; 321 of those people passed. For you people without a calculator in easy reach, 58.4% of the 'applicants" passed. This is not very good. I was told that these figures are average for October; I guess a lot of people are happy there is more than one

chance to pass the test!

However, the real surprise was the test held in February of this year. Out of 177 people who wrote the test, a paltry 42 passed. This is a "pass rate" of 23.7%, less than half of the October percentage. I was relieved to hear that the percentage of people passing this February was somewhat below average; however, it seems that the February pass rate is always significantly lower than that of October.

Pretty depressing, isn't it? I only wish I knew the percentage of people who pass the introductory English courses; I can guess that it's not very good.

Why does this dismal situation exist? I think I would place the blame (if there is any to be placed) on the school system. You must remember the tortuous hours spent learning what a participle is, or possessive and conjugate forms of verbs (or whatever; I really can't remember it either). This is exactly the problem: the English they try to teach is too technical. They don't really try to teach how to use the language; they try to teach the rules behind the language.

Imagine, if you may, attending a driver's training school. How much would you learn about driving a car if the teacher talked about the stresses involved in going around turns, and the specific muscles used to twist the wheel a par-

ticular way? Not too much, I suspect.

What needs to be taught is more the use of the language, not the rules behind it. Can you remember wincing when the teacher announed, "Class, we're going to learn grammar today!" And how about those ridiculous spelling bees and such; is that really the way to learn how to spell? They might as well have assigned a dictionary to read as a book report. How dull!

The primary reason for this sad state of English fluency among "Englishspeaking" people is lack of funding for schools. It seems that every day we hear of funding cuts to the schools; remember the cut in federal transfer payments a few weeks ago? Teachers remain underpaid and overworked (yes, I know, everyone is that way), and have little incentive to make their classes interesting.

Something must be done; I'm sure the University doesn't really want to force students to take English courses, and employers certainly don't want semiliterate workers. I'm not asking everyone to toss away their Computer Science or Business Administration textbooks and hit the dictionaries; I just wish that more people could speak or write English.

STEVE BOYKO