

on the face of it appears advantageous, that if it is economically carried out it might be for the advantage of both railways, that his real ground of complaint is the extravagance of the manager, as to which, however he made no complaint during the years he was a Director on the Road, and that the present bill should be for the removal of the Manager, that the real meaning of the present bill and all the proceedings taken was to make the company give something for this stock." Notwithstanding the admissions made by plaintiff of his true position, I yet think that under the authorities as holder of the stock he holds in the Northern Railway Company if the steps taken by the Railway Company were *ultra vires* he has the power to demand the intervention of the Court to restrain such steps. I cannot, therefore, find that the plaintiff has no locus standi, but on the grounds set forth, finding he is not entitled to the relief demanded, I dismiss the bill with costs.