

On *Deceased Wife's Sister Marriage B.*, the question of jurisdiction under B.N.A. Act underlies *Mr. Bellerose's* Amt. for "hoist" of B. & recurs in successive Debates; for which, see the Bill, under heading in General Index, "Marriage."

On *Saskatchewan Dioces. Synod Incorp. B.*, *Mr. Dickey* took exception to, and moved Amt. of, clause conveying church disciplinary authority, 288. The Amt. was negatived; and *Mr. Vidal* quoted precedents for such legislation, 294.

On *Commercial Travellers' Asscn. B.*, *Sir A. Campbell* took exception to clause respecting collection of *debt*, as a matter of Provincial legislation, 201.

On *Stadacona Ins. Co.* winding up B., *Mr. Power* questioning the right of Dom. Parl. to legislate, as affecting property and *civil rights* procedure, *Mr. Bureau* observed that more than one Province being interested, legislative jurisdiction (Prov. & Dom.) was concurrent, 301.

Legislation upon *Presbyterian Temporalities Fund B.* was based upon decision of Imp. Priv. Council that Provincial Acts of Ont. & Que. on the subject were *ultra vires*, & that jurisdiction was vested in Dom. Parl. See General Index, "Presbyterian;" also "Queen's College."

On the following Bills, authorizing *Bridges of an International Character*, discussions on questions of Dominion jurisdiction, and on the necessity of concurrent sanction by the Congress or Executive of the U. S.:-

Sault Ste. Marie Bridge B., 138, 170; Ottawa, Waddington & N. Y. Ry. & Bridge Co. B. 268, 326, 377; Niagara Peninsula Bridge Co. Incorp. B., 324, 358, 415-8; Calais & St. Stephen Ry. Bridge Co. B. 467, 528.

*Maritime Ct.* for Canada; *Sir A. Campbell's* Res. for Add. to H. M. requests the withdrawal of *British Vice-Admiralty Courts*, and Imperial legislation authorizing *Maritime Cts.* under *Dom.* jurisdiction, 341.

*Factories Labor Regulation B.* (*Mr. Aikins*) opposed by *Mr. Dickey* & others, as trenching upon *Provincial* legislative functions, 353; *Sir A. Campbell* on this point, 367; *Mr. Power*, 371. Again discussed, 391-7.

*Bridges* over navigable streams; Govt. B., retaining under Dom. control *construction* of *Bridges* where navigation would be thereby affected, debated, 373, 397, 427.

On *St. John's (Man.) Bridge Co.* Incorp. B., objection taken that it was *ultra vires* and a matter for *Provincial* legislation (*Mr. Power* and others) 382-5.

On 2° of *Richelieu Bridge Co.* Incorp. B., similar objection, 385.

On *Winnipeg & Springfield Bridge Co.'s* B., the same, 385. On this B., Private B. Com. reported an Amt., that it be not construed to contravene or con-

flit with any legislation not *ultra vires* of Provincial legislature, 421. Constitutional ques. debated & Senate divided, rejecting the Amt. by 34 to 16, 424.

On similar Amt. of Com. to *St. John's Bridge B.* (above-mentioned), further debate, and Amt. rejected on Div., 424-7.

On 2°, *Canada Provident Asscn. Incorp. B.*, *Sir A. Campbell* questioned the propriety of legislation by the Dom. Parl.; also the construction of the B. 461. B. reported by Supreme Ct. as *intra vires* of the Dominion, two Judges being doubtful as to 1st and 2nd clauses. 663. On 3°, *Mr. Power* called attention to this point, but *Sir A. Campbell* decided to pass the B. 698.

JURISDICTION, *Government*.—On 2° (m. by *Mr. Allan*) of B. authorizing *Loan Cos.* to increase their stock, *Mr. Alexander* objected that Govt. should not allow a private member to introduce such a measure; but Bill was read 2°, 104.

*Promissory Notes, &c.*, in P. E. I., B. (*Mr. Carvell*) was dropped, Govt. promising to enquire as to advisability of such legislation, and to introduce a measure next Session, 444.

JURISDICTION, *Imperial*.—On 2° of *Fugitive Offenders B.*, explanation by *Sir A. Campbell*; the former Provinces had measures for arresting fugitives from others, but no provision existed for taking such fugitives across the seas. In view of Imperial legislation to this end, the present B. preserves the autonomy granted by the B. N. A. Act, and asserts the right to deal with the subject within the limits of the Dominion, 32.—In Com., a further and exhaustive debate on the same subject, 71. Also on 3°, 89.

*Extradition Act* Amt. B.—In accordance with views of H. M. Govt., this Amt. to the Dom. Act of 1877, was passed, cancelling clause giving Min. of Justice *discretionary* power to refuse extradition "for any other cause," as the same might clash with Imperial Treaty obligations, 434-6.

(SEE ALSO CASES MENTIONED UNDER "JURISDICTION, Dominion," ABOVE.)

JURISDICTION, *Senate*.—On 2° of *Harbor & River Police B.*, *Mr. Scott* objecting that clause levying duties on Vessels was *ultra vires* of the Senate, *Sir A. Campbell* maintained the custom of introducing such Bills in order to advance them, the blank amounts being afterwards filled in by the Commons, 44.

On *Mr. Alexander's* M. recommending an order to a *Canadian sculptor*, and an exception taken that no motion advising *expenditure* could emanate from the Senate. *The mover* quoted precedents from H. of Lords Procedure and opinions from Todd, that such *abstract* recommendations from the Upper House, even if inconvenient, were not inadmissible; but, in deference to the feeling of the Senate, the M. was withdn. 49.