

- 2—Assessment of demolished buildings is contrary to the municipal code.
 - 3—No municipal re-assessment of F.D.C. properties before 1953. As the F.D.C. paid no grants before 1951, the municipality saw no justification for regular re-assessment from 1940 to 1951 of those non-taxable properties, because they were superfluous municipal expenditures.
- III—Losses from 1940-51 cannot be determined exactly for the following reasons (pages 4, 5, and 6)
- 1—No municipal re-assessment of F.D.C. properties from 1938-53.
 - 2—Change of municipal assessment with the change in rate based on real value during the period mentioned.
 - 3—Factor affecting the total of the loss mentioned. Table produced.
- IV—“Development” factor must be taken into account in assessing the losses suffered. (pages 6, 7 and 8).
- 1—No industrial development, for tourists or not, permitted in the park to date. Decline of real estate value in the park.
 - 2—Development of tourism outside the park.
 - 3—Findings and requests for tourist industry by Masham. Reasons for those requests.
- V—Reply to the statement made by Mr. Hay at the presentation of the brief from the Metropolitan Council: that the municipal assessment rate has been equal for all the properties in Ste. Cecile de Masham since 1953, whether for F.D.C. properties or not. There is no specially increased rate for F.D.C. properties for the purpose of larger grants. (See pages 8 and 9)
- VI—Pressures exerted in acquisitions by the F.D.C. (See pages 8, 10 and 11)
- VII—The particularly sad case pointed out, that of the “Chateau Philippe”. (See page 11)
- VIII—Delegation from Ste. Cecile de Masham to the F.D.C. on January 25, 1954. Questions asked: (See pages 11, 12 and 13)
- 1—Arable lands. Answer: Richards Plan.
 - 2—The Philippe case.
 - 3—Unaccountable situation in comparison with the aim of the Greber Plan stated on page 14 of the 1950 General Report.
- IX—Situation of Gatineau Park. (See pages 13 and 14).
- X—Answer concerning taxes of properties rented by the F.D.C.: no municipal by-law imposing a tenant's tax. (See page 14).
- XI—Limited information about acquisitions made in 1955-56 in Masham. Situation. (See pages 14 and 15).
- XII—Interesting questions re certain situations not cleared up. (See pages 15 and 16).
- XIII—Improve the present system of compensation. (See pages 16, 17 and 18).
- 1—Comparison of the Park with the Green Belt.
 - 2—Take into account the free expansion of the municipalities:
 - (a) If it is planned to have a Federal District like the one in Washington.
 - (b) If there is to be a National Capital District.
 - 3—Municipal development paralyzed by the F.D.C.
 - 4—Municipalities injured to date.
- XIV—Re-adjustment in the system of compensation:
- A—By construction of tourist hotels.
 - B—Example of compensation by industries in other localities.