

STROH v. FORD—DUENCH v. FORD—KELLY, J.—JAN. 20.

*Fraud and Misrepresentation — Sale of Bonds — Evidence — Failure to Make Case against Defendant.*]—These two actions arose out of purchases by the plaintiffs of bonds of the National Agency Company Limited, from or through the defendant. The purchases were made in 1911 and 1912. The bonds bought were of the aggregate face value of \$8,500. The plaintiffs alleged that the sales of the bonds were induced by fraud and misrepresentation on the part of the defendant. The learned Judge reviews the evidence and comes to the conclusion that the plaintiffs have not proved against the defendant such fraud or misrepresentation or statements as would justify a decision in their favour. Actions dismissed with costs. W. H. Gregory, for the plaintiffs. N. Jeffrey, for the defendant.

---

CORRECTION.

RE ONTARIO POWER CO. OF NIAGARA FALLS AND  
TOWNSHIP OF STAMFORD.

RE ELECTRICAL DEVELOPMENT CO. OF ONTARIO AND  
TOWNSHIP OF STAMFORD.

In these two cases, ante 718 and 721, it is stated that "MAGEE, J.A., dissented." This is a mistake. MAGEE, J.A., agreed in each case with the judgment of MEREDITH, C.J.O.