

stand if this rule were to be enforced it would bring about a very great friction between the schools and educational institutions in the Province of Quebec and our College. I understand that Professor Adami, who teaches pathology in McGill University, does not hold a degree in medicine, and are we to refuse to accept his certificates simply because he does not happen to hold a degree in medicine? Are we to prevent students from McGill coming here and registering, if they pass an examination, because this gentleman does not happen to be a registered practitioner in the Province of Ontario? Then take the professor of surgery and the professor of anatomy in McGill, who are not registered practitioners of any Medical College, are not registered practitioners in Ontario, and if this rule were to be enforced we could not accept the certificates of these gentlemen.

Dr. BRAW—You have been doing it right along.

Dr. BERGIN—Then make it plain. We should not keep on our books a law that is not enforced.

Dr. BRITTON—I might mention that not only is there one instance of that character in McGill, that is Professor Adami, but there are also Dr. Roddick, professor of ophthalmology; Dr. Sheppard, professor of anatomy; Dr. Brown, professor of clinical medicine; Dr. Laflure, professor of clinical medicine; Professor Robert Craik, Dean of McGill; Dr. Cameron, professor of obstetrics—men that we think a great deal of and men who are a credit to their College, all are minus that plume which they might perhaps with credit to themselves have, that is the license from our own College of Surgeons. But at any rate, to cut the matter short, there are only three professors in McGill University, I believe, who are members of the College of Physicians and Surgeons of Ontario; and unless this rule be rescinded and that rescinding placed in so definite a form that the Registrar will have no doubt in his mind, it is quite certain there will be a conflict between ourselves and the schools, which we don't desire. At present there is sufficient competition among the different schools to insure they will at least try to keep up a fair standard: there is a necessity on their part that they keep up to the standard laid down by us, and that very feeling of emulation that exists between the schools will lead them to seek for and select the best men they can, either inside or outside the profession. Professor Ramsay Wright, lecturer on physiology and bac-

teriology in the Toronto School of Medicine, whose ability I think nobody here will question, is not honoured with a license from this degree or license granting body, and I don't know that any one of us would wish to see a rule retained which would say that Professor Ramsay Wright is not qualified to grant a certificate that a student has attended a certain number of lectures properly delivered on physiology. I am very much in favour of the Council making it a plain statement that the rule which has been virtually out of existence for twelve years is rescinded. It has never, that I know of, been definitely so stated in the Council.

Dr. ROGERS—I think there is a lot of misunderstanding. I have just now read the rule, and I think the rule says "certificates from teachers in Ontario": the plain ruling of the foot note does not refer to teachers outside of the Province of Ontario: it may, it is true, refer to Professor Ramsay Wright; I don't know whether he is a doctor of medicine or not.

Dr. THORBURN—It is not Ontario, or any other place; it is merely a rule that is not enforced.

Dr. ROGERS—While undoubtedly the rule should be that a man teaching any branch of the profession of medicine in Ontario should be a registered practitioner of Ontario, that rule does not apply, as I read it, to teachers outside of this Province.

Dr. BRITTON—It applies to anybody.

Dr. THORBURN—It does not refer to Ontario or Canada or anywhere.

Dr. BRITTON—It refers to anybody from whom a certificate is received.

The PRESIDENT—If you adopt this resolution you leave it uncertain whether any of the old rules that appear in the old announcements might not still be force; you are undertaking by this resolution to repeal a law which has been repealed long ago, the curriculum for each year is practically repealed when you adopt a new curriculum. I rule that that rule is not a rule of the Council and has not been since 1879-80; and my ruling will be the opinion of the Council unless it is appealed from and overruled.

Dr. BERGIN—I do not agree with you in that the announcement of this year will repeal all former announcements, because there is embodied in these by-laws a large amount of material that is not new this year.

The PRESIDENT—All that is not embodied in