that in all the newspaper reports $M$. Gambetta's second was apparently a Frenchman.

First, we drew up my principal's will. I insisted upon this, and stuck to my point. I said I had never heard of a man in his right mind going out to fight a duel without first making his will. He said he had never heard of a man in his right mind doing anything of the kind. When we had finished the will, he wished to proceed to a choice of his "last words." He wanted to know how the following words, as a dying exclamation, struck me:-
"I die for my God, for my country, for freedom of speech, for progress, and the universal brotherhood of man!"

1 objected that this would require too lingering a death; it was a good speech for a consumptive, but not suited to the exigencies of the field of honor. We wrangled over a good many ante-mortem outbursts, but I finally got him to cut his obituary down to this, which he copied into his memorandum book, purposing to get it by beart :-
"I die that France may live."
I said that this remark seemed to lack relevancy, but he said relevancy was a matter of no consequence in last words,-what you wanted was thrill.

The next thing in order was the choice of weapons. My principal said he was not feeling well, and would leave that and the other details of the proposed meeting to me. Therefore I wrote the following note and carried it to M. Fourtou's friend:

Sir: M Gambetta accepts M. Fourtou's challenge, and authorizes me to propose Plessis-Piquet as the place of meeting ; to-morrow morning at daybreak as the time; and axes as the weapons. I am, sir, with great respect, Mars Twatn.
M. Fourtou's friend read this note, and shuddered. Then he turned to me, and said, with a suggestion of severity in his tone :-
" Have you considered, air, what would be the inevitable result of such a meeting as this?"
"Well, for instance, what would it be?"
" Bloodshed!"
"That's about the size of it," I ssid. "Now, if it is a fair question, what was your side proposing to shed?"
I had him there. He saw he had made a blunder, so he hastened to explain it away. He said he had spoken jestingly. Then he added that he and his principal would enjoy axes, and indeed preferred them, but such weapons were barred by the French code, and so I must change my proposal.
I walked the floor, turned the thing over in my mind, and finally it occurred to me that Gatling guns at fifteen paces would be a likely way to get a verdict on the field of honor. So I framed this idea into a proposition.
But it was not accepted. The code was in the way again. I proposed rifles; then, double-barreled shotguns; then, Colt's navy revolvers. These being all rejected, I reflected a while, and sarcastically suggested brick-bats at three-quarters of a mile. I always hate to fool a way a humorous thing on a person who has no perception of humor; and it filled me with bitterness when this man went soberly away to submit the last proposition to his principal.
He came lack presently, and said his principal was charmed with the idea of brick-bats at three quarters of a mile, but mist decline on account 1 of the danger to disinterested parties passing between. Then I said,--
" Well, I am at the end of my string, now. Perhaps you would be good enough to suggest a weapon? Perhaps you have even had one in your mind all the time?"
His countenance brightened, and he said with alacrity,-
"Oh, without doubt, monsieur!"
So he fell to hunting in his pockets -pocket after pocket, and he had

