

the "three questions I put to Dr. Jennings," as stated on Mr. McRuar's authority, your letter was the first I heard or knew of them.

Allow me now to say, that I accepted your statement as to your non-interference in the arrangements for supplying our city pulpits by Mr. Guinness from the 6th to 10th May, alleging "that you introduced him to the Rev. Dr. Ormiston, and to no other Minister—that other Ministers called on him and made their own appointments." I learn on good authority that this is not in accordance with fact, as you did write a note on Saturday 4th of May, proposing to Mr. Guinness, to a Minister in the city, to preach on the following Wednesday evening—the time of the weekly service in Knox's Church.

Yours faithfully,
JAMES WALKER.

REV. DAVID INGLIS TO JAMES WALKER.

HAMILTON, Nov. 13th, 1861.

Mr. JAMES WALKER.

SIR,—Yours of yesterday has just come to hand. I understand from what you say in the first paragraph that this letter is the result of reflection and consultation with "friends in whom you have confidence." The last part of this statement sufficiently accounts for the change in tone and temper which you display in it, as compared with the spirit manifested by you in our conversation on the 4th inst., to which you refer; but it does not satisfactorily account for the very marked difference in the result to which you have come.

Our conversation ended in your authorizing me to express your regret to Mrs. Gale and Mrs. Inglis; but this letter is received by them and myself as a formal withdrawal of that expression.

A large portion of your letter is taken up with violent attacks upon myself and others, in which you wander very far from the subject of my letter to Dr. Jennings, to which yours professes to be an answer. I have a word or two to say in reference to these matters which are foreign to the proper subject of this correspondence.

You devote a paragraph to Mr. McRuar, in which you cast an imputation upon his truthfulness, which, if done at all, should have been done to himself,* and not to me. I am bound, however, to say that his statement stands uncontradicted except in a single unimportant particular, which you make the subject of a separate paragraph in another part of your letter. At the very outset of our interview on the 4th inst., I stated to you that Dr. Jennings had informed me that the questions given to him to be put to Mr. Guinness, and which he had put, were not sent by you. Why you mention the subject again, as though no such statement had been made to you I cannot conceive.

You contrast my zeal in behalf of Mrs. Gale and Mrs. Inglis with my tardiness in replying to the correspondence headed, "Rev. David Inglis' letter." This attempt to evade the question might be passed without notice; but it may be worth while to ask what is there in that correspon-

* This is simply absurd. Mr. McRuar might never have made the statement. There is only Mr. Inglis' letter for it. As a trader, it is the business of the retailer to return counterfeits to the wholesale dealer, and on the next suitable occasion accounts could be balanced.