STATEMENT showing how the following lands have been disposed of:

No.	Land.				Date of Sale.		Sale.	Name of Purchaser. Name of Patentee. Date of Pat	nt. Area.
	Sec.	Part of Sec.	Tp.	Range.	_ and or build.		Dui-		Acres.
1394	9	All	6	9th	Nov.	30.	1990	. D. Kean	82 640
1317	17	8.W. 1	, K	5th	do.		1880		160
1285	15	N. I	4	10th	do				320
1333	21	S.W. 1	š	10th	do	26,	1880		160
1251	17	8. 1	3	12th	do	17.			82 320
1252		S. 1	3	12th	do		1880		32 320
1243	25	N.	ĭ	8th	do				320
123	7	All	2					lessie H. Cameron, wife of M.	
	1			İ	•			C. Cameron L. R. C. & W. L. Boyle Mar. 12, 10	83 640
1642	25	{ N. ½ } 8. W. ½ }	5	6th	Oct.	18,	1876	J. M. M. Macdonnell A & J. Riddell June 18, 10	77 480
1466	7	N. W. 1	15	12th	Ano.	17.	1877	8. Spencer do 25, 11	78 160
2967	4	All	4	7th		31,	1879	Eleanor Macdonnell Eleanor Macdonnell May 14, 1	80 640
1553	19	All	5	7th		28,	1880	A. B. Elford M. C. Cameron Aug. 9, 11	82 640
1554	21	All	5	7th		28.	1880	do do do 9, 1	82 640
86 0	7	N. 3	4	12th	May	13.	1880		320
861	15	E. 1	4	12th	do	13,	1880	do do do 8, 10	320
858	19	W.3	4	13th	do	13,	1880		82 320
859	17	W	4	13th	do	13,	1880,	40 40	320
850	21	▲ ll	5	12th	do	13,		L. O. Cameron	82 640
851	27	All	5	12th	do		1880	40 (00000000000000000000000000000000000	82 640
852	5	All	5	13th			1880	40 (2001)	82 640
853	13	All	5	13th	do		1880	70 100141 411110001 1 111111	82 640
854	.9	Ali	5	14th	do	13,	1880	40 (1111) (1111) 40 (1111)	82 640
864	13	S. 1	4	13th	do			MO: MODOLIBOH (Glodelloh)	82 320 82 640
1347		Alf	1	8th		16,		. OLOOM Way	82 640 82 160
112	5	N.E. 1	3	13th				i. o. kolghioj (kikiokok)	
865 120		S	3	13th				TO HODOLOGOT (COCCIOE) MINING	82 320 82 640
119	5	<u>All</u>	Ţ	12th			1879		82 32 0
	3	S. 1	1	12th	do				
891	5	All	6	14th			1880	E. B. Tatchell (Emerson)	82 640
121	7	S. 🔒	1	12th	Sept.			M. C. Cameron do do 7, 1	82 320
313	25	A11	1		Dec.				82 640

Making a total of 13,920 acres, equal to twenty-one and three- | in this House quarter sections. Now, these do not include the lands to which the hon, gentleman referred a few moments ago as having been purchased from some son of some Lieutenant Governor, as that does not appear on this list. These are applications that no doubt were put in in the names of this hon. gentleman's sons, his daughters, his aunts his uncles, his employes, and his wife, and the patents were issued to himself. If any hon, gentleman on this side of the House could be charged with anything approaching that, I am sure he could honestly be called a land grabber. I would like to ask when these lands were bargained for, when the arrangements were made for securing them? Was it not when the hon, gentleman's friends were in power; and had they not been turned out of power when they were, no doubt the hon, gentleman's friends would have gobbled up the great share of the North-West, according to what we see here. This hon, gentleman not only makes a false charge that I was an applicant; he goes further and makes other charges. He says I am the bond slave of the Right Hom Sir John A. Macdonald. All I can say in reference to that is, that I have 277 good reasons for supporting that hon, gentleman. I have the honor to represent South Leeds, a constituency containing a population of 25,000, who are as healthy, as wealthy, as honest, and far more intelligent than the electors that the hon, gentleman has the honor to represent. Those people sent me here by a majority of 277 to support the present Government. I said that the electors of South Leeds were more intelligent than those the hon. gentleman represents, and I think I am justified in saying that, from the fact that I support the present Government and was sent here for that purpose, while the hon, gentleman who made the charge against me has nothing else to do but prefer charges against every hon, gentleman wandered from Dan to Beersheba, and made a charge Mr. TAYLOR.

The Government he calls hangmen, and charges them with everything that is corrupt, and I think the electors of South Leeds showed they were much more intelligent people than the electors of West Huron. This hon, gentleman is not satisfied with abusing the country, with abusing the institutions of the country, and the representative men of the country, but he singles out the country into locality, and has a pick at a locality. He singles out Gananoque and calls it a small country village. All I can say in reference to Gananoque that it is not an incorporated town the town of Goderich, but it contains a population about equal to the town of Goderich, and is of far more importance to this country than the town the hon. gentleman hails from, as I will show before I get through. By the Trade and Navigation Returns of 1884, there was collected in Customs duty at this country village, \$15,505.04, while at the town of Goderich there was collected \$6,256.81 or \$4.50 in Customs duties at Gananoque for every dollar collected at Goderich; while it costs, according to the Public Accounts for that year, to collect that \$15,505 the sum of \$900, and at Goderich it costs \$1,700 to collect \$6,256. I find further that for the last three years the exports from Gananoque were \$295,751, and from Goderich \$214,204; the imports to Gananoque were \$364,201, and to Goderich \$157,604; there was entered for consumption in Gananoque \$363,936, and in Goderich \$104,849; the duty collected at Gananoque in the last three years amounted to \$43,106.70, and at Goderich to \$14,322.56.

Mr. BÉCHARD. I think the hon. gentleman should confine himself to the question before the House, at this

hour in the morning, nearly two o'clock.

Mr. TAYLOR. The hon. gentleman who spoke before