

*meus hic sermo est, sed quae praecepit Ofellus*") the Church of Scotland would require, at the most moderate computation, 50 Clergymen, for one only out of the 11 Districts of the Province, and if we do not believe (which by the bye, the Doctor's eagerness to multiply his Churches and Clergymen, might be apt to induce us to do) that Episcopal souls require a great deal more care and feeding than Presbyterian, we shall have a claim, on the Doctor's own shewing, for more Clergymen than it would have entered into the imagination of our hearts to conceive! Shall we hear any more of our misstatements with respect to the Eastern District? *We trow not!* But however palpable and exorbitant this misrepresentation may be, we confess we are inclined to point to a particular sentence in this part of the Letter, which more than any other, seems to us, to bear against the Doctor's candour and fairness. It is the allegation that "the late Mr. Bethune had brought up his sons in the Church of England, of which they are now Parish Priests." What impression would this make on the mind of the Minister to whom it is addressed? If Dr. Strachan did not intend to produce a false impression, how could he be ignorant of the effect which this statement must have? Was he not conscious that it was never the intention of the Father to educate his Son for the Church of England? Was it not from the Dr. himself, that the young man received his impressions in favor of that Church? After the death of the Father, the younger brother, being educated by the elder, was of course brought up in the same faith; and had they been placed under a Catholic Clergyman, and the same confidence reposed in him, who does not perceive that they would have been brought up in the latter faith?

Doctor Strachan cannot plead ignorance of the character of the late Mr. Bethune—of his conscientious and steadfast attachment to his own Church—maintained even to his last moments, and strikingly evinced in the address which he wrote with his dying hand to his people, and which must have been well known to the Doctor. Alas! How prejudice and party spirit will make even wise, and good men, forget themselves and transgress the plainest dictates of candour as well as discretion. From the preceding remarks, it will be obvious, that the Chart, without any reference to errors and misstatements in regard to particular facts, is in the criteria which it has assumed, calculated to give a most erroneous impression, while its author most cautiously avoids touching on any of those topics and criteria which would have shewn the real state of the case, and would have been admitted by all to be of weight in deciding the question. As it now stands, the Chart is so far from being a faithful representation of the Ecclesiastical state of the Province, that it is scarcely too much to affirm, that the truth is nearly the reverse of what one would be led by legitimate conclusion, to deduce from its statements. In one word, this is the fact, that the Episcopal congregations are in a great measure made up of persons, who have been denied the means