RACTICAL BEE=KEEPER

NEW SERIES Vol. 1.

TILBURY CENTRE, ONT., APRIL, 1894.

No. 2.

IN VINDICATION.

For The Practical Bee-Keeper.

ALLEN PRINGLE.

the account, went out of its way to make "infidels" and "scoffers." I noticed the wa, a sincere infidel in existence to day." fact at the time, but much as I felt like for more than one reason. Another correspondent, however, Mr. Wheeler, replied to the editor in vigorous fashion, in remonstrated, showing him that as he of his agnostic friends. had himself commenced the controversy to which there had been no reply publish- opinion ed, he was in honor bound to hear from

terize some of our christian friends when they get in a corner-my reply was never permitted to see the light.

The later editorial deliverances, to In the American Bee Journal of Nov. which I took exception, and to which I 2nd, 1893, (I think that was the date) certainly had the right to reply, were as there appeared an account of the lament- follows:- "The very best writers of beeable death of Mrs. Van Deusen, in that literature to-day and those who are doing terrible railroad accident in the G. T. R. and ever have done, the most for practi at Battle Creek. The A. B. J. in giving cal bee-culture, are almost without a single exception, earnest Christians," and an uncalled for attack on so-called the ditor said he did not "believe there

That was the last deliverance, and replying, I decided to pass it by in silence cuite in keeping with the first attack. Yow, as a goodly number of the readers and contributors of the A. B. J. (and e.en some of Mr. York's brother editors) a letter which appeared in the issue of belong to that class of thinkers Mr. York Nov. 16th. When I saw the comments calls "infidels," this opinion of the journal of the editor of the A. B. J. appended to concerning them and their sincerity would Mr. Wheeler's letter, I felt that forbear- appear to be not overly complimentary. ance was no longer a virtue. I accord- However, as nobody is to blame for his ingly replied, whereupon Mr. York honest opinions, no matter how absurd or announced that he had decided not to untrue they may be, the editor of the admit any reply to his columns. I Journal has the fullest right to his opinion

But the other asseveration as to the by an unprovoked attack on no inconsid-pious character of "the very best writers erable number of his readers, and kept it of bee literature to day " is quite another up in his comments the s could time affair as it touches a matter of fact, not

The following is, for the most part, those assailed. Brother York's arguments what I wrote to the A. B. J., but which, why we should not get a hearing vere, it seems, was hewing just a little too to say the least, pouliar, and with the close to the line for brother York to stand: usual courage and fa rness which charac- "Now, in availing myself of my right to