

NITROGENOUS FOODS AND WHEN TO USE THEM SPARINGLY.

BY DR. FRANK WOODBURY : READ BEFORE THE PHILADELPHIA MEDICAL SOCIETY.

FROM the time of Hippocrates, and even earlier, it has been known that health and disease are largely influenced by food, and that the effects of an animal diet are different from those of a diet exclusively of vegetables. A distinction was even made between leguminous and other forms of vegetable food. It was not until our own day, however, that the practising physician possessed sufficient knowledge of the chemistry of food and metabolism in health and disease to enable him to direct the diet of his patients upon scientific principles. Following the definition given by Hippocrates,—“Medicine consists in addition and subtraction,—the addition of the things which are deficient and the subtraction of those things which are redundant ; he who practises this is the best physician, but he whose practice is farthest from it is the farthest removed from a knowledge of the art”—we can now prescribe viands suited to a deficiency of nitrogen in the system, or substitute others if there is an excess.

We find that a diet poor in nitrogen is useful in the several forms of rheumatism, in gout and lithæmia, and also in recurring attacks of biliousness and bilious headache. Scurvy appears to be caused by an absolute, as well as a relative, excess of nitrogen in the food, and I have seen it caused by the use of an excessive amount of fresh meat among children in an orphan asylum. In its treatment, vegetable food relatively poor in nitrogen is usually employed. Some skin diseases are only to be cured by withholding nitrogenized food. It seems possible that a liberal use of meat in the diet may have some connection with the development of cancer, a disease which appears to be on the increase, as was pointed out by Dr. R. A. Cleemann, of this society, in his Address on Hygiene, delivered before the Medical Society of the State of Pennsylvania a few years ago. Dr. W. Mattieu Williams, in a little work on *The Chemistry of Cookery*, pointedly directs attention to the large consumption of meat as a cause of various forms of cancer. In families where a hereditary tendency of this kind exists, it is possible

that it might be overcome by vegetarianism. Some nervous affections, notably epilepsy and corea, are greatly benefited by abstention from meat in the food.

Owing to the writings of Roberts, Fothergill, and others, a causative connection between a diet rich in nitrogen and some forms of kidney inflammation or degeneration is now generally recognized. And in the treatment of the various forms of Bright's disease, attention to the diet is generally admitted to be of prime importance. There is a widely spread opinion that nitrogenized food is favorable to the occurrence of inflammation, and for this there seems to be a scientific foundation. Parks has shown that a non-nitrogenized diet causes lowered blood-pressure and diminished arterial tension. Meat, therefore, is ordinarily prohibited under the antiphlogistic treatment, as it was formerly called.

On the other hand, nitrogenized food may be prescribed where there is, from any cause, deficiency of albuminous principles in the blood—for example, in anæmia or chlorosis. In phthisis this condition is sometimes quite marked, and good results have been obtained from the beef-and-hot-water plan of treatment, and also from the use of fresh bullock's blood, or hæmoglobin, which requires less digestive capacity and is more easily assimilated than muscle-tissue.

Children frequently suffer from a deficiency of nitrogen. Where an infant is reared upon condensed milk entirely, the limbs are plump, but the tissues are flabby on account of anæmia. Such children are late in getting their teeth and have little power of resistance against disease. The addition of oat-meal, barley, or rice to the milk will often bring about marked improvement and may prevent the development of rickets.

In the foregoing . . . I have not made any distinction between the nitrogenous proximate principles of animal and vegetable origin. Chemically and physiologically they are nearly identical ; but practically there are minor differences of palatability, digestibility, and relative utility, which, at present, our limits will not permit us to consider.